arXiv:math/0201245v2 [math.QA] 9 Apr 2002

Quantum elliptic algebras and double Yangians

Luc Frappat

Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique (LAPTH)
UMR 5108, CNRS-Université de Savoie
B.P. 110, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France

Membre de l'Institut Universitaire de France

Lectures given at the First French-Moroccan School on Non-Commutative Geometry
Marseille (France), 17-21 December 2001

Abstract

Quantum universal enveloping algebras, quantum elliptic algebras and double (deformed) Yangians provide fundamental algebraic structures relevant for many integrable systems. They are described in the FRT formalism by R-matrices which are solutions of elliptic, trigonometric or rational type of the Yang–Baxter equation with spectral parameter or its generalization known as the Gervais–Neveu–Felder equation. While quantum groups and double Yangians appear as quasi-triangular Hopf algebras, this is no longer the case for elliptic algebras and the various deformations of Yangian type algebras. These structures are dealt with the framework of quasi-Hopf algebras. These algebras can be obtained from Hopf algebras through particular Drinfel'd twists satisfying the so-called shifted cocycle condition. We review these different structures and the pattern of connections between them.

MSC number: 81R50, 17B37

LAPTH-Conf-898/02
math.QA/0201245
January 2002

1 Introduction **1**

2 Hopf algebras, quasi-Hopf algebras and Drinfel'd twists **3**
2.1 Hopf algebras . . . 3
2.1.1 Definitions . . . 3
2.1.2 Quantum affine algebras $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ . . . 4
2.1.3 The Drinfel'd realization of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ . . . 6
2.1.4 FRT formalism of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ . . . 6
2.2 Quasi-Hopf algebras . . . 8
2.3 Drinfel'd twist . . . 8

3 Elliptic algebras **10**
3.1 The quantum affine elliptic algebras of vertex type . . . 10
3.1.1 R-matrix of $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ . . . 10
3.1.2 RLL relations for $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ . . . 11
3.1.3 Generalization to $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ . . . 12
3.1.4 Quasi-Hopf algebra structure for $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ . . . 14
3.2 The quantum affine elliptic algebras of face type . . . 16
3.2.1 R-matrix of the affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ . . . 16
3.2.2 Generalization to $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ . . . 16
3.2.3 Quasi-Hopf algebra structure for $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ . . . 17
3.3 Degenerations of the quantum affine elliptic algebras . . . 19
3.3.1 Scaling limit procedures . . . 19
3.3.2 The Sklyanin algebra . . . 19
3.3.3 The dynamical algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\mathfrak{g})$ . . . 20
3.3.4 Non-elliptic limits . . . 21

4 Double Yangians and related structures **22**
4.1 Yangians . . . 22
4.1.1 Definition of the Yangians . . . 22
4.1.2 FRT formalism for the Yangians . . . 23
4.1.3 Drinfel'd second realization of the Yangians . . . 24
4.2 Double Yangians . . . 26
4.2.1 Notion of quantum double . . . 26
4.2.2 Definition of the double Yangians . . . 27
4.2.3 Universal R-matrix of the double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})$ . . . 28
4.2.4 Central extension $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})_c$ of the double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})$ . . . 29
4.3 Deformed double Yangians . . . 31
4.3.1 Definition of the deformed double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$. . . . 31
4.3.2 The deformed double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$ is a QTQHA . . . 31
4.3.3 Other presentation of $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$. . . . 33
4.3.4 Generalization to $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_N)$ . . . 34
4.4 Dynamical double Yangians . . . 35
4.4.1 The dynamical double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_s(sl_2)$ . . . 35
4.4.2 Generalization to $\mathcal{D}Y_s(sl_N)$ . . . 37
4.4.3 The deformed dynamical double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_{r,s}(sl_2)$ . . . 37

A Notations **40**

B Deformation of an algebra **41**

1 Introduction

The quantum generalization of the inverse scattering method by E.K. Sklyanin, L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhtajan gives a unified framework for the resolution of integrable systems and puts in evidence underlying algebraic structures [71, 72]. These structures appear to be a very powerful tool both for resolution and construction of two-dimensional models in statistical mechanics and in quantum field theory. They also lead to the formulation of the classical inverse scattering problem in an algebraic way with the formalism of the classical r-matrix. The quantization of classical r-matrices yields quantum R-matrices [67] satisfying the so-called star-triangle relation, also known as the Yang–Baxter equation [16, 74].

A particularly interesting case is that of R-matrices $R \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$ depending on a complex parameter, called the spectral parameter, where V is a representation of an infinite-dimensional algebra. In [64] a first attempt to give a systemization of the (at this time) known solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation with spectral parameter was undertaken. However, the problem of classifying such solutions is not solved yet, and since this pioneering work no new type of solution has been found. In fact, the known solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation with spectral parameter fall into three classes: the elliptic ones, the trigonometric ones and the rational ones.

The trigonometric solutions have been used in solvable lattice model, see for example [41, 44, 49]. They appear also to be the R-matrices used to define the quantum affine algebras in the FRT formalism. The notion of quantum affine algebra was introduced in [63, 70] for the $\widehat{sl}_2$ case and in [25, 43, 44] for any simple Kac–Moody affine algebra.

Elliptic R-matrices have yielded many algebraic structures relevant to certain integrable systems in quantum mechanics and statistical mechanics, e.g. the XYZ model [45], RSOS models [1, 62] and Sine–Gordon theory [61, 55]. In the elliptic case, the R-matrix associated to the eight vertex model was first derived by R.J. Baxter [17] and generalized to the $\mathbb{Z}_N$-symmetric vertex model by A.A. Belavin [18]. The vertex-type elliptic R-matrix for sl_2 was first used by E.K. Sklyanin [68, 69] to construct a two-parameter deformation of the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(sl_2)$. The affine version, including the central extension c, was introduced in [33], provided the Yang–Baxter relations take the form $R_{12}L_1L_2 = L_2L_1R^*_{12}$ where R^*_{12} denotes the R-matrix R_{12} with a modified elliptic nome $p^* = pq^{-2c}$. At this level, the coalgebra structure remains undetermined: indeed the fact that the R-matrices R_{12} and R^*_{12} are different prevent the usual coproduct formula $\Delta L = L\dot{\otimes}L$ from applying. Moreover a free field realization of $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ is still missing. The connection between the quantum elliptic algebras $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ and the q-deformed Virasoro and W_N algebras [11, 12, 31, 35] was established in [9, 10].

Other elliptic solutions, known as the face-type R-matrices, depending on extra parameters λ belonging to the dual of the Cartan algebra in the underlying algebra, were first used by G. Felder [32] to define the algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ in the R-matrix approach. This class of elliptic solutions to the Yang–Baxter equation is associated with the face-type statistical models [1, 23, 51]. B. Enriquez

and G. Felder [29] and H. Konno [62] introduced a current representation, although differences arise in the treatment of the central extension. A slightly different structure, also based upon face-type R-matrices but incorporating extra Heisenberg algebra generators, was introduced as $\mathcal{U}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(2))$ [47, 62]. This structure is relevant to the resolution of the quantum Calogero–Moser and Ruijsenaars–Schneider models [8, 14, 52]. It is worthwhile to notice that the RLL type relations take in this case a particular form, like the Yang–Baxter equation, where the Lax matrices also depend on the extra parameters λ, and where some shifts along the Cartan generators occur. As in the vertex case, the coalgebra structure was undetermined.

This problem was solved in [48] both for the vertex-type and for the face-type elliptic algebras. O. Babelon [13] was the first to suggest that the notion of quasi-Hopf algebra was the right framework to deal with such structures. Originating with the proposition of [14] on face-type algebras, the construction of the twist operators was undertaken in both cases by C. Frønsdal [36, 37] and finally achieved at the level of formal universal twists in [7, 48]. In [7], the universal twist is obtained by solving a linear equation introduced in [20], this equation playing a fundamental role for complex continuation of $6j$ symbols. Moreover in the case of finite (super)algebras, the convergence of the infinite products defining the twists was also proved in [7]. This led to a formal construction of universal R-matrices for the elliptic algebras $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ in [48], of which the Belavin–Baxter and the Andrews–Baxter–Forrester 4×4 matrices are respectively (spin 1/2) evaluation representations.

Particularly interesting limits of elliptic or trigonometric R-matrices are the so-called degeneration or scaling limits. The resulting R-matrices are rational solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation. The scaling limit is defined by taking $z = q^u$ (spectral parameter), $p = q^{2r}$ (elliptic nome) and $w = q^{2s}$ (dynamical parameter) with $q \to 1$, keeping u, r and s fixed. The degeneracy limits of elliptic R-matrices was considered either in the vertex case [46, 61] (R-matrix formulation) and [54] (current algebra formulation) or in the face case [5]. They give rise to algebraic structures which have been variously characterized as scaled elliptic algebras denoted $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar,\eta}(\widehat{sl}_2)$, where $\eta \equiv 1/r$ and $q \simeq e^{\epsilon\hbar}$ with $\epsilon \to 0$ [46, 54], or double Yangian type algebras [5, 19, 60]. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar,\eta}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ is relevant to the study of the XXZ model in its gapless regime [46]. It admits a further limit $r \to \infty$ ($\eta \to 0$) where its R-matrix becomes identical to the R-matrix defining the double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})$ (centrally extended), defined in [53, 60].

One must however be careful in these identifications in terms of R-matrix structure since the generating functionals (Lax matrices) of these algebras admit different interpretations in terms of modes (generators of the enveloping algebra). Although represented by formally identical RLL type relations, these two classes of objects differ fundamentally in their structure: in the context of scaled elliptic algebras, the expansion is done in terms of continuous-index Fourier modes of the spectral parameter [54, 61], while in the context of double Yangian type algebras the expansion is done in terms of powers of the spectral parameter [53, 60]. Hence these structures must be considered separately.

It appears clearly that the universal algebraic structures associated with any limit of evaluated R-matrices may not be taken for granted, but must be explicitly constructed. This will be achieved by

identification of these particular limits as evaluation representations of universal R-matrices for the deformations by particular Drinfel'd twists [28], known as "shifted-cocycle" twists [13, 14], of Hopf algebra structures. This construction systematically endows these deformations with a Gervais–Neveu–Felder type quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra structure. It is characterized by a particular form of the universal Yang–Baxter equation, called Gervais–Neveu–Felder or dynamical Yang–Baxter equation.

The plan of the paper is as follows. We first introduce in section 2 the basic tools necessary for the description of the various algebraic structures we will deal with, especially the notions of Hopf algebra, quasi-Hopf algebra and Drinfel'd twist. We also describe the structure of quantum affine algebras, in particular the construction of their universal R-matrix and the connections between their various presentations (Cartan–Weyl basis, Drinfel'd realization, FRT formalism). The section 3 is devoted to the quantum affine elliptic algebras of vertex type $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ and of face type $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ and some of their "degenerations". Emphasis is given on the structure of these algebras, in particular their quasi-Hopf structure. The Drinfel'd twists that connect them to the quantum group $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_N)$ are explicitly constructed following the lines of [48]. In section 4, we deal with (double) Yangians and related structures. The degeneration limit of $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)$, interpreted as a deformed double Yangian, is shown to be obtained from the double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)$ by a Drinfel'd twist of Gervais–Neveu–Felder type, promoting the deformed double Yangian as a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra. A similar degeneration limit of the face type elliptic algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ and removing the elliptic dependence lead to a dynamical double Yangian algebra, which also exhibits the structure of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra. Finally the nature of the degeneration limit of $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ without removing the elliptic dependence is discussed ("dynamical deformed double Yangian").

2 Hopf algebras, quasi-Hopf algebras and Drinfel'd twists

2.1 Hopf algebras

2.1.1 Definitions

DEFINITION 2.1 *A unital associative algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is called a Hopf algebra if it is endowed with a coalgebra structure: the coproduct $\Delta : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$ and counit $\epsilon : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ are algebra homomorphisms, the product $m : \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ and unit $\iota : \mathbb{C} \to \mathfrak{A}$ are coalgebra homomorphisms* [1]*, and $\mathfrak{A}$ is equipped with an antihomomorphism $S : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ (antipode), with*

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(x)) = (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Delta(x)) \qquad (\forall x \in \mathfrak{A}) \qquad \textit{(coassociativity)}$$
$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon) \circ \Delta = (\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = \mathrm{id}$$
$$m \circ (S \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = m \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta = \iota \circ \epsilon$$

If σ denotes the flip map, $\sigma(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} = \sigma \circ \Delta$ is the opposite coproduct. Whenever $\Delta = \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$, the Hopf algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is said cocommutative.

[1] $\varphi : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ is a coalgebra homomorphism if $\Delta_{\mathfrak{B}} \circ \varphi = (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{B}} \circ \varphi = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

DEFINITION 2.2 *A Hopf algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to be quasi-triangular if it exists an invertible element $\mathcal{R} \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$, called the universal R-matrix, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(x) = \mathcal{R}\Delta(x)\mathcal{R}^{-1} \qquad (\forall x \in \mathfrak{A}) \qquad \textit{(almost cocommutativity)} \\ &(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}_{13}\,\mathcal{R}_{23} \\ &(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}_{13}\,\mathcal{R}_{12} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{R}$ satisfies the *Yang–Baxter equation* (in $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$):

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}\,\mathcal{R}_{13}\,\mathcal{R}_{23} = \mathcal{R}_{23}\,\mathcal{R}_{13}\,\mathcal{R}_{12} \tag{2.1}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R}) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon)(\mathcal{R}) = 1 \\ &(S \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R}) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes S^{-1})(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

2.1.2 Quantum affine algebras $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra and $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ be the ring of Laurent polynomials in the indeterminate z. The Kac–Moody affine algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$, c is the central extension and d is the derivation. Let (A_{ij}) and (A_{ij}^{sym}) be the Cartan matrix and the symmetrized Cartan matrix of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ respectively, where $A_{ij}^{sym} = d_i A_{ij}$ and d_i are relatively coprime integers such that $d_i A_{ij} = d_j A_{ji}$. If $\widehat{\Pi}^0 = \{\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ is a simple root system of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, one has $(A_{ij}^{sym}) = (\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ where $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ denotes a scalar product on the root space.

The quantum universal enveloping Kac–Moody affine algebra – or quantum affine algebra – $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the unital algebra over $\mathbb{C}(q)$, the ring of rational functions in the indeterminate q, with generators $k_i^{\pm}$, e_i and f_i $(0 \le i \le r)$ such that

$$[k_i^{\pm}, k_j^{\pm}] = 0 \qquad k_i^{+}k_i^{-} = k_i^{-}k_i^{+} = 1 \qquad [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij}\,\frac{k_i^{+} - k_i^{-}}{q - q^{-1}} \tag{2.3}$$

$$k_i^{\pm} e_j = q^{\pm A_{ij}^{sym}} e_j k_i^{\pm} \qquad k_i^{\pm} f_j = q^{\mp A_{ij}^{sym}} f_j k_i^{\pm} \tag{2.4}$$

and for $i \neq j$

$$(\mathrm{ad}_q\, e_i)^{1-A_{ij}}(e_j) = 0 \qquad (\mathrm{ad}_q\, f_i)^{1-A_{ij}}(f_j) = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

where by definition $(\mathrm{ad}_q\, e_i)(e_j) = e_i e_j - q^{A_{ij}^{sym}} e_j e_i$.
The Hopf algebra structure of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(k_i^{\pm}) = k_i^{\pm} \otimes k_i^{\pm} && \Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + k_i^{+} \otimes e_i && \Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes k_i^{-} + 1 \otimes f_i \\ &S(k_i^{\pm}) = k_i^{\mp} && S(e_i) = -k_i^{-} e_i && S(f_i) = f_i k_i^{+} \\ &\epsilon(k_i^{\pm}) = \epsilon(e_i) = \epsilon(f_i) = 0 && \epsilon(1) = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\widehat{\Pi}^{+}$ be the set of positive roots of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. One defines a so-called normal ordering $\prec$ among the roots of $\widehat{\Pi}^{+}$ in the following way: if $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \widehat{\Pi}^{+}$ and $[\alpha, \beta]$ is a minimal segment containing $\alpha + \beta$,

then one writes $\alpha \prec \alpha+\beta \prec \beta$. Once the generators $e_{\alpha_i} \equiv e_i$, $e_{-\alpha_i} \equiv f_i$ corresponding to the simple roots are given, the generators corresponding to all positive roots are constructed by induction as follows [59] (see also [56, 58] for the finite case):

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\alpha+\beta} &= [e_\alpha, e_\beta]_q = e_\alpha e_\beta - q^{(\alpha,\beta)} e_\beta e_\alpha \\ f_{\alpha+\beta} &= [f_\beta, f_\alpha]_{q^{-1}} = f_\beta f_\alpha - q^{-(\alpha,\beta)} f_\alpha f_\beta \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

if $\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in \widehat{\Pi}^+$ are such that $\alpha \prec \alpha+\beta \prec \beta$.
For any root $\gamma = \sum_{i=0}^r n_i\alpha_i$, $\gamma \in \Pi^+$, one sets $k_\gamma^\pm = \prod_{i=0}^r k_i^{\pm n_i}$. If γ is a real root, one gets

$$[e_\gamma, f_\gamma] = \eta_\gamma \, \frac{k_\gamma^+ - k_\gamma^-}{q-q^{-1}} \tag{2.8}$$

but for the imaginary roots $n\delta$, one has (the superscript (i) denotes the multiplicity of the imaginary root $n\delta$)

$$[e_{n\delta}^{(i)}, f_{m\delta}^{(j)}] \neq a_{ij}(n) \, \frac{k_\delta^{+n} - k_\delta^{-n}}{q-q^{-1}} \, \delta_{m+n,0} \tag{2.9}$$

It is necessary instead to introduce new generators $\check{e}_{n\delta}^{(i)}$, $\check{f}_{n\delta}^{(j)}$ such that

$$[\check{e}_{n\delta}^{(i)}, \check{f}_{m\delta}^{(j)}] = a_{ij}(n) \, \frac{k_\delta^{+n} - k_\delta^{-n}}{q-q^{-1}} \, \delta_{m+n,0} \tag{2.10}$$

where $a_{ij}(n) = \dfrac{q^{nA_{ij}^{sym}} - q^{-nA_{ij}^{sym}}}{n(q-q^{-1})}$.
The generators $e_{n\delta}^{(i)}$ are expressed in terms of the $\check{e}_{n\delta}^{(i)}$ generators by means of Schur relations (see [59]).
The universal R-matrix of the quantum affine algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ has been constructed in ref. [57, 59]. It has the following structure:

$$\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})] = \Big(\prod_{\gamma\in\widehat{\Pi}^+}^{\rightarrow} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\gamma \Big) \mathcal{K} \tag{2.11}$$

where the arrow means that the product has to be done with respect to the normal ordering $\prec$ defined on $\widehat{\Pi}^+$ and the factors $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\gamma$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\gamma = \exp_{q^{-(\gamma,\gamma)}} \Big((q-q^{-1}) \eta_\gamma^{-1} \, e_\gamma \otimes f_\gamma \Big) \tag{2.12}$$

for the real roots $\gamma \in \widehat{\Pi}^+$,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{n\delta} = \exp \Big((q-q^{-1}) \sum_{ij} c_{ij}(n) \, \check{e}_{n\delta}^{(i)} \otimes \check{f}_{n\delta}^{j)} \Big) \tag{2.13}$$

for the imaginary roots $n\delta \in \widehat{\Pi}^+$ where the matrix $\big(c_{ij}(n)\big)$ is the inverse of the matrix $\big(a_{ij}(n)\big)$, and

$$\mathcal{K} = q^{\sum_{ij} d_{ij} h_i \otimes h_j} \tag{2.14}$$

where h_i is such that $k_i^\pm \equiv q^{\pm h_i}$ and the matrix (d_{ij}) is the inverse of a non-degenerated extension of the symmetrized Cartan matrix (A_{ij}^{sym}).
In (2.12) the q-exponential is defined by

$$\exp_q(x) \equiv \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{x^n}{(n)_q!} \qquad \text{where} \qquad (n)_q! \equiv (1)_q (2)_q \ldots (n)_q \;\text{ and }\; (k)_q \equiv \frac{1-q^k}{1-q} \tag{2.15}$$

2.1.3 The Drinfel'd realization of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$

There exists another realization of the quantum affine algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ found by Drinfel'd [26, 27]. $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is isomorphic to the algebra with generators $\mathcal{K}_{i,n}$, $\mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{i,n}$ and C (with $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big[\mathcal{K}_{i,m}, \mathcal{K}_{j,n}\big] = \delta_{n+m,0}\, \frac{q^{mA^{sym}_{ij}} - q^{-mA^{sym}_{ij}}}{m(q-q^{-1})}\, \frac{C^m - C^{-m}}{q-q^{-1}} \\
&\mathcal{K}_{i,0}\, \mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{j,n} = q^{\pm A^{sym}_{ij}}\, \mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{j,n}\, \mathcal{K}_{i,0} \\
&\big[\mathcal{K}_{i,m}, \mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{j,n}\big] = \pm \frac{q^{mA^{sym}_{ij}} - q^{-mA^{sym}_{ij}}}{m(q-q^{-1})}\, \mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{j,m+n}\, C^{\mp |m|/2} \\
&\mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{i,m+1}\mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{j,n} - q^{\pm A^{sym}_{ij}}\mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{j,n}\mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{i,m+1} = q^{\pm A^{sym}_{ij}}\mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{i,m}\mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{j,n+1} - \mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{j,n+1}\mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{i,m} \\
&\big[\mathcal{X}^{+}_{i,m}, \mathcal{X}^{-}_{j,n}\big] = \delta_{ij}\, \frac{\Psi^{+}_{i,m+n} C^{(m-n)/2} - \Psi^{-}_{i,m+n} C^{(n-m)/2}}{q-q^{-1}} \\
&\big[C, \mathcal{K}_{i,m}\big] = \big[C, \mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{i,m}\big] = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

and for $i \neq j$ with $n_{ij} = 1 - A_{ij}$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n_{ij}}} \sum_{k=0}^{n_{ij}} (-1)^k\, \frac{[n_{ij}]_q!}{[k]_q! [n_{ij}-k]_q!}\, \mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{i,m_{\sigma(1)}} \ldots \mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{i,m_{\sigma(k)}}\, \mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{j,n}\, \mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{i,m_{\sigma(k+1)}} \ldots \mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{i,m_{\sigma(n_{ij})}} = 0 \tag{2.17}$$

The generators $\Psi^{\pm}_{i,n}$ are determined by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \Psi^{\pm}_{i,\pm n}\, z^{\mp n} = \mathcal{K}^{\pm 1}_{i,0} \exp\Big(\pm (q-q^{-1}) \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{K}_{i,\pm n}\, z^{\mp n} \Big) \tag{2.18}$$

and the q-factorial is defined by $[n]_q! \equiv [1]_q\, [2]_q \ldots [n]_q$ and $[k]_q \equiv \dfrac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q-q^{-1}}$.

2.1.4 FRT formalism of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$

Consider the two-dimensional evaluation representation of $\widehat{sl}_2$ with evaluation parameter z, in the homogeneous gradation given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_z(e_1) = e_{12} \qquad \pi_z(f_1) = e_{21} \qquad \pi_z(e_0) = z e_{21} \qquad \pi_z(f_0) = z^{-1} e_{12} \\
&\pi_z(h_1) = e_{11} - e_{22} \qquad\qquad \pi_z(h_0) = e_{22} - e_{11}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Then the R-matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ in the fundamental representation $R(z_1/z_2) = (\pi_{z_1} \otimes \pi_{z_2})\mathcal{R}$ reads

$$R[\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)](z) = \rho(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dfrac{q(1-z)}{1-q^2 z} & \dfrac{1-q^2}{1-q^2 z} & 0 \\ 0 & \dfrac{z(1-q^2)}{1-q^2 z} & \dfrac{q(1-z)}{1-q^2 z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.20}$$

the normalization factor being

$$\rho(z) = q^{-1/2}\, \frac{(q^2 z; q^4)^2_\infty}{(z; q^4)_\infty\, (q^4 z; q^4)_\infty} \tag{2.21}$$

The infinite multiple products are defined by $(z;a)_\infty = \prod_{n\geq 0}(1-za^n)$.

In the FRT formalism [30], the algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ can be alternatively defined as an algebra with generators $L^\pm_{ij}(z) = \sum_{k\geq 0} L^\pm_{ij}(\mp k)\, z^{\pm k}$, encapsulated into the two 2×2 independent matrices

$$L^\pm(z) = \begin{pmatrix} L^\pm_{11}(z) & L^\pm_{12}(z) \\ L^\pm_{21}(z) & L^\pm_{22}(z) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.22}$$

subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(z_1/z_2)\, L^\pm_1(z_1)\, L^\pm_2(z_2) &= L^\pm_2(z_2)\, L^\pm_1(z_1)\, R_{12}(z_1/z_2) \\ R_{12}(q^c z_1/z_2)\, L^+_1(z_1)\, L^-_2(z_2) &= L^-_2(z_2)\, L^+_1(z_1)\, R_{12}(q^{-c} z_1/z_2) \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$\text{q-det}\, L^\pm(z) \equiv L^\pm_{11}(q^{-1}z) L^\pm_{22}(z) - L^\pm_{21}(q^{-1}z) L^\pm_{12}(z) = 1 \tag{2.24}$$

where $L^\pm_1(z) = L^\pm(z)\otimes 1$, $L^\pm_2(z) = 1\otimes L^\pm(z)$.
The Hopf algebra structure is given by

$$\Delta L^\pm(z) = L^\pm(zq^{\pm(1\otimes c/2)}) \,\dot\otimes\, L^\pm(zq^{\mp c/2\otimes 1}) \tag{2.25}$$

i.e. explicitly

$$\Delta L^\pm_{ij}(z) = \sum_k L^\pm_{ik}(zq^{\pm(1\otimes c/2)}) \otimes L^\pm_{kj}(zq^{\mp c/2\otimes 1}) \tag{2.26}$$

for the coproduct, $S(L^\pm(z)) = (L^\pm(z))^{-1}$ for the antipode and $\epsilon(L^\pm) = 1$ for the counit.
Using a Gauss decomposition for the Lax matrices $L^\pm(z)$,

$$\begin{aligned} L^\pm(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^\pm(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k^\pm_1(z) & 0 \\ 0 & k^\pm_2(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f^\pm(z^\mp) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} k^\pm_1(z) & k^\pm_1(z) f^\pm(z^\mp) \\ e^\pm(z) k^\pm_1(z) & k^\pm_2(z) + e^\pm(z) k^\pm_1(z) f^\pm(z^\mp) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

the modes of the generating functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}^+(z) &= (q-q^{-1})^{-1}\big(e^+(qz_-) - e^-(qz_+)\big) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{X}^+_n\, z^{-n} \\ \mathcal{X}^-(z) &= (q-q^{-1})^{-1}\big(f^+(qz_+) - f^-(qz_-)\big) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{X}^-_n\, z^{-n} \\ \Psi^\pm(z) &= k^\mp_2(zq)\, k^\mp_1(zq)^{-1} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \Psi^\pm_n\, z^{\mp n} \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

with $z_\pm = zq^{\pm c/2}$ satisfy the Drinfel'd relations (2.16) of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ [24].

2.2 Quasi-Hopf algebras

DEFINITION 2.3 *A unital associative algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is called a quasi-Hopf algebra if it is endowed with a coalgebra structure: the coproduct $\Delta : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$ and counit $\epsilon : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ are algebra homomorphisms, the product $m : \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ and unit $\iota : \mathbb{C} \to \mathfrak{A}$ are coalgebra homomorphisms, and $\mathfrak{A}$ is equipped with an antihomomorphism $S : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ (antipode) and elements α, $\beta \in \mathfrak{A}$, and an invertible element $\Phi \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$ (coassociator), with*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(x)) = \Phi(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Delta(x))\Phi^{-1} \qquad (\forall x \in \mathfrak{A}) \\
&(\mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon) \circ \Delta = (\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = \mathrm{id} \\
&(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\Phi) \cdot (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Phi) = (1 \otimes \Phi) \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Phi) \cdot (\Phi \otimes 1) \\
&(\mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Phi) = 1
\end{aligned}
$$

and for the antipode

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i S(x_i^{(1)})\alpha x_i^{(2)} = \epsilon(x)\alpha \qquad && \sum_i x_i^{(1)}\beta S(x_i^{(2)}) = \epsilon(x)\beta \\
&\sum_i \varphi_i^{(1)}\beta S(\varphi_i^{(2)})\alpha\varphi_i^{(3)} = 1 \qquad && \sum_i S(\psi_i^{(1)})\alpha\psi_i^{(2)}\beta S(\psi_i^{(3)}) = 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $\Delta(x) = \sum_i x_i^{(1)} \otimes x_i^{(2)}$ and

$$
\Phi = \sum_i \varphi_i^{(1)} \otimes \varphi_i^{(2)} \otimes \varphi_i^{(3)}\,, \qquad \Phi^{-1} = \sum_i \psi_i^{(1)} \otimes \psi_i^{(2)} \otimes \psi_i^{(3)}
$$

The element Φ measures the lack of coassociativity of the coproduct.

DEFINITION 2.4 *A quasi-Hopf algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to be quasi-triangular if it exists an invertible element $\mathcal{R} \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$, called the universal R-matrix, such that*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(x) = \mathcal{R}\Delta(x)\mathcal{R}^{-1} \qquad (\forall x \in \mathfrak{A}) \\
&(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R}) = \Phi^{(312)}\,\mathcal{R}_{13}\,{\Phi^{(132)}}^{-1}\,\mathcal{R}_{23}\,\Phi^{(123)} \\
&(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{R}) = {\Phi^{(231)}}^{-1}\,\mathcal{R}_{13}\,\Phi^{(213)}\,\mathcal{R}_{12}\,{\Phi^{(123)}}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\mathcal{R}$ satisfies the generalized Yang–Baxter equation (in $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$):

$$
\mathcal{R}_{12}\,\Phi^{(312)}\,\mathcal{R}_{13}\,{\Phi^{(132)}}^{-1}\,\mathcal{R}_{23}\,\Phi^{(123)} = \Phi^{(321)}\,\mathcal{R}_{23}\,{\Phi^{(231)}}^{-1}\,\mathcal{R}_{13}\,\Phi^{(213)}\,\mathcal{R}_{12} \tag{2.29}
$$

The notation $\Phi^{(312)}$ means that if $\Phi^{(123)} = \sum_i \varphi_i^{(1)} \otimes \varphi_i^{(2)} \otimes \varphi_i^{(3)}$, then $\Phi^{(312)} = \sum_i \varphi_i^{(3)} \otimes \varphi_i^{(1)} \otimes \varphi_i^{(2)}$, and so on.

Obviously, a quasi-Hopf algebra with $\Phi = 1$, $\alpha = \beta = 1$ is a Hopf algebra.

2.3 Drinfel'd twist

The notion of Drinfel'd twist allows one to associate to a given quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra another quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra in the following way. Consider an invertible element

$\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$ such that $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon)\mathcal{F} = (\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\mathcal{F} = 1$ (when $\mathfrak{A}$ is a quantum universal enveloping algebra, this means that the "leading" term in $\mathcal{F}$ is $1 \otimes 1$). One sets

$$\widetilde{\Delta}(x) = \mathcal{F}_{12}\, \Delta(x)\, \mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1} \qquad (\forall x \in \mathfrak{A}) \tag{2.30}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{F}_{21}\, \mathcal{R}_{12}\, \mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1} \tag{2.31}$$

$$\widetilde{\Phi} = \big(\mathcal{F}_{23}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{F})\big)\, \Phi\, \big(\mathcal{F}_{12}(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{F})\big)^{-1} \tag{2.32}$$

$$\widetilde{\alpha} = \sum_i S(w_i^{(1)})\, \alpha\, w_i^{(2)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{\beta} = \sum_i v_i^{(1)}\, \beta\, S(v_i^{(2)}) \tag{2.33}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{12} = \sum_i v_i^{(1)} \otimes v_i^{(2)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1} = \sum_i w_i^{(1)} \otimes w_i^{(2)} \tag{2.34}$$

Proposition 2.1 (Drinfel'd) *If* $(\mathfrak{A},\, \Phi,\, \Delta,\, \epsilon,\, S,\, \alpha,\, \beta,\, \mathcal{R})$ *is a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra (QTQHA), then* $(\mathfrak{A},\, \widetilde{\Phi},\, \widetilde{\Delta},\, \epsilon,\, S,\, \widetilde{\alpha},\, \widetilde{\beta},\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}})$ *is also a QTQHA (denoted* $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ *for short).*

$\mathcal{F}$ is called a Drinfel'd twist.

In the following, we will mainly be concerned with twists of Hopf algebras. From now on, we consider the case where $\mathfrak{A}$ is a Hopf algebra ($\Phi = 1$, $\alpha = \beta = 1$) and $\mathcal{F}$ depends on parameters $\lambda \in \mathfrak{H}$ where $\mathfrak{H}$ is an Abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 2.5 *A Drinfel'd twist* $\mathcal{F}$ *satisfying the so-called* shifted cocycle condition *(*$h \in \mathfrak{H}$*)*

$$\mathcal{F}_{12}(\lambda)\, (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{F}(\lambda)) = \mathcal{F}_{23}(\lambda + h^{(1)})\, (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{F}(\lambda)) \tag{2.35}$$

is called a Gervais–Neveu–Felder *(GNF) twist.*

In the case of a GNF twist, the coassociator $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is given by $\widetilde{\Phi}^{(123)} = \mathcal{F}_{23}(\lambda)\, \mathcal{F}_{23}(\lambda + h^{(1)})^{-1}$ (from eq. (2.32)) and the universal R-matrix $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ satisfies the so-called *Gervais–Neveu–Felder* or *dynamical Yang–Baxter equation* [32, 40]:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(\lambda + h^{(3)})\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{13}(\lambda)\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(\lambda + h^{(1)}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(\lambda)\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{13}(\lambda + h^{(2)})\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(\lambda) \tag{2.36}$$

Denoting by $\pi_{ev}(x)$ an evaluation representation of $\mathfrak{A}$ with evaluation parameter x, the Lax matrix $\widetilde{L}(x) = (\pi_{ev}(x) \otimes \mathrm{id})\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ realizes an FRT-type formalism of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ with an evaluated R-matrix defined by $\widetilde{R}(x_1 - x_2) = (\pi_{ev}(x_1) \otimes \pi_{ev}(x_2))\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$. The RLL relations take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{R}_{12}(x_1 - x_2, \lambda + h)\, \widetilde{L}_1(x_1, \lambda)\, \widetilde{L}_2(x_2, \lambda + h^{(1)}) = \\ \widetilde{L}_2(x_2, \lambda)\, \widetilde{L}_1(x_1, \lambda + h^{(2)})\, \widetilde{R}_{12}(x_1 - x_2, \lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

Denoting by $\{h_i\}$ a basis of $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\{h_i^\vee\}$ the dual basis, the notation $\lambda + h^{(k)}$ means $\sum_i (\lambda_i + {h_i}^{(k)}) h_i^\vee$ if $\lambda = \sum_i \lambda_i\, h_i^\vee$ and the superscript (k) labels the space where h acts.

3 Elliptic algebras

3.1 The quantum affine elliptic algebras of vertex type

The quantum affine elliptic algebra of vertex type $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ was introduced in [33, 34] and generalized to the sl_N case in [9, 48]. The status of this algebra was elucidated in [48], where it was shown that $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ was a QTQHA obtained from $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_N)$ by a suitable Drinfel'd twist.

3.1.1 R-matrix of $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$

The quantum affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ is defined in the RLL formalism. The entries of the R-matrix of the quantum affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ are given by the Boltzmann weights of the eight vertex model, solved by Baxter [16, 17]. Explicitly, this R-matrix reads

$$R[\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)](z,q,p) = \rho(z,q,p) \begin{pmatrix} a(z) & 0 & 0 & d(z) \\ 0 & b(z) & c(z) & 0 \\ 0 & c(z) & b(z) & 0 \\ d(z) & 0 & 0 & a(z) \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a(z) &= z^{-1}\,\frac{\Theta_{p^2}(q^2z^2)\,\Theta_{p^2}(pq^2)}{\Theta_{p^2}(pq^2z^2)\,\Theta_{p^2}(q^2)} & d(z) &= -\frac{p^{1/2}}{qz^2}\,\frac{\Theta_{p^2}(z^2)\,\Theta_{p^2}(q^2z^2)}{\Theta_{p^2}(pz^2)\,\Theta_{p^2}(pq^2z^2)} \\ b(z) &= qz^{-1}\,\frac{\Theta_{p^2}(z^2)\,\Theta_{p^2}(pq^2)}{\Theta_{p^2}(pz^2)\,\Theta_{p^2}(q^2)} & c(z) &= 1 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

with $\Theta_a(x) = (x;a)_\infty\,(ax^{-1};a)_\infty\,(a;a)_\infty$.
The normalization factor $\mu(x)$ is chosen as follows [46]:

$$\rho(z) = \frac{(p^2;p^2)_\infty}{(p;p)_\infty^2}\,\frac{\Theta_{p^2}(pz^2)\Theta_{p^2}(q^2)}{\Theta_{p^2}(q^2z^2)}\,\frac{(q^4z^{-2};p,q^4)_\infty\,(q^2z^2;p,q^4)_\infty\,(pz^{-2};p,q^4)_\infty\,(pq^2z^2;p,q^4)_\infty}{(q^4z^2;p,q^4)_\infty\,(q^2z^{-2};p,q^4)_\infty\,(pz^2;p,q^4)_\infty\,(pq^2z^{-2};p,q^4)_\infty} \tag{3.3}$$

PROPOSITION 3.1 *The R-matrix (3.1) has the following properties:*

$$\textit{Yang–Baxter equation:} \qquad R_{12}(z)\,R_{13}(w)\,R_{23}(w/z) = R_{23}(w/z)\,R_{13}(w)\,R_{12}(z) \tag{3.4}$$

$$\textit{unitarity:} \qquad R_{12}(z)\,R_{21}(z^{-1}) = 1 \tag{3.5}$$

$$\textit{crossing symmetry:} \qquad R_{21}(z^{-1})^{t_1} = (\sigma^1\otimes 1)R_{12}(-q^{-1}z)(\sigma^1\otimes 1) \tag{3.6}$$

$$\textit{antisymmetry:} \qquad R_{12}(-z) = -(\sigma^3\otimes 1)R_{12}(z)(\sigma^3\otimes 1) \tag{3.7}$$

where $\sigma^1,\sigma^2,\sigma^3$ are the 2×2 Pauli matrices and t_i denotes the transposition in the space i.

The proof is straightforward by direct calculation.

3.1.2 RLL relations for $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$

For the definition of the quantum affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$, one needs to use a slightly modified R-matrix $\widetilde{R}_{12}(z)$, which differs from (3.1) by a suitable normalization factor:

$$\widetilde{R}_{12}(z) = \tau(q^{1/2}z^{-1})R_{12}(z) \tag{3.8}$$

where the factor $\tau(z)$ is given by

$$\tau(z) = z^{-1}\frac{(qz^2;q^4)_\infty\,(q^3z^{-2};q^4)_\infty}{(qz^{-2};q^4)_\infty\,(q^3z^2;q^4)_\infty} \tag{3.9}$$

The function τ is periodic, $\tau(z) = \tau(zq^2)$, and $\widetilde{R}_{12}(z)$ obeys a quasi-periodicity property:

$$\widetilde{R}_{12}(-p^{\frac{1}{2}}z) = (\sigma^1\otimes 1)\left(\widetilde{R}_{21}(z^{-1})\right)^{-1}(\sigma^1\otimes 1) \tag{3.10}$$

The crossing symmetry and the unitarity properties of R_{12} then allow one to exchange inversion and transposition for the matrix $\widetilde{R}_{12}$ as:

$$\left(\widetilde{R}_{12}(z)^{t_2}\right)^{-1} = \left(\widetilde{R}_{12}(q^2z)^{-1}\right)^{t_2} \tag{3.11}$$

The quantum affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ is defined as an algebra of operators

$$L_{ij}(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L_{ij}(n)\,z^n = \begin{pmatrix} L_{++}(z) & L_{+-}(z) \\ L_{-+}(z) & L_{--}(z)\end{pmatrix} \tag{3.12}$$

where $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}_2\equiv\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, encapsulated into a 2×2 matrix, and the functions the L_{++} and L_{--} are even while L_{+-} and L_{-+} are odd in the variable z. One first defines $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{gl}_2)$ by imposing the following relations on $L(z)$:

$$\widetilde{R}_{12}(z/w,q,p)\,L_1(z)\,L_2(w) = L_2(w)\,L_1(z)\,\widetilde{R}^*_{12}(z/w,q,p) \tag{3.13}$$

with $L_1(z) = L(z)\otimes 1$, $L_2(z) = 1\otimes L(z)$ and $\widetilde{R}^*_{12}(z,q,p) = \widetilde{R}_{12}(z,q,p^* = pq^{-2c})$.
The quantum determinant of $L(z)$ given by

$$\text{q-det}\,L(z) = L_{++}(q^{-1}z)L_{--}(z) - L_{-+}(q^{-1}z)L_{+-}(z) \tag{3.14}$$

is in the center of $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{gl}_2)$. It can be factored out, and set to the value $q^{\frac{c}{2}}$ (c being the central charge) so as to get

$$\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2) = \mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{gl}_2)/\langle\text{q-det}\,L - q^{\frac{c}{2}}\rangle \tag{3.15}$$

Note that it may be useful to introduce the following two matrices:

$$L^+(z) \equiv L(q^{\frac{c}{2}}z) \qquad \text{and} \qquad L^-(z) \equiv \sigma^1 L(-p^{\frac{1}{2}}z)\sigma^1 \tag{3.16}$$

They obey coupled exchange relations following from (3.13) and periodicity/unitarity properties of the matrices $\widetilde{R}_{12}$ and $\widetilde{R}^*_{12}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{R}_{12}(z/w)\,L^\pm_1(z)\,L^\pm_2(w) &= L^\pm_2(w)\,L^\pm_1(z)\,\widetilde{R}^*_{12}(z/w)\\ \widetilde{R}_{12}(q^{\frac{c}{2}}z/w)\,L^+_1(z)\,L^-_2(w) &= L^-_2(w)\,L^+_1(z)\,\widetilde{R}^*_{12}(q^{-\frac{c}{2}}z/w)\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

REMARK 3.1 A further renormalization of the modes $\bar{L}_n = \big(-p^{\frac{1}{2}}\big)^{\max(n,0)} L_n$ is required in order to get the trigonometric quantum enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}(2)_c)$ from $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ when $p \to 0$. It ensures the vanishing of half of the degrees of freedom in $L^{\pm}(z)$ and decouples completely $L^{+}(z)$ from $L^{-}(z)$, thereby keeping the same overall dimensionality [46]. It is therefore a non-trivial, "discontinuous" procedure. □

Let us stress that at this level the Hopf structure of $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ remains undetermined. Indeed, the coproduct of the $L(z)$ generators *cannot* be defined by $\Delta(L) = L \dot{\otimes} L$, since the R-matrices appearing in the l.h.s. and in the r.h.s. of eq. (3.13) differ, the values of the elliptic nomes p and p^* of the elliptic functions (3.2) entering in the definition of the entries of (3.1) and of the normalization factor (3.3) being different. The answer to this fundamental question will be given in section 3.1.4.

3.1.3 Generalization to $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)$

The R-matrix of the quantum affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)$, associated to the $\mathbb{Z}_N$-vertex model, is defined as follows [18, 22]:

$$R[\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)](z,q,p) = \rho(z,q,p) \sum_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)\in\mathbb{Z}_N\times\mathbb{Z}_N} W_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}(\xi,\zeta,\tau)\; I_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)} \otimes I^{-1}_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)} \tag{3.18}$$

where the variables z, q, p are related to the variables ξ, ζ, τ by

$$z = e^{i\pi\xi}\,, \qquad q = e^{i\pi\zeta}\,, \qquad p = e^{2i\pi\tau}$$

The functions $W_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}$ are given by

$$W_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}(\xi,\zeta,\tau) = \frac{\vartheta\big[{}^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_1/N}_{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_2/N}\big](\xi+\zeta/N,\tau)}{N\vartheta\big[{}^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_1/N}_{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_2/N}\big](\zeta/N,\tau)} \tag{3.19}$$

where the Jacobi theta functions with rational characteristics $(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}\times\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ are defined by

$$\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\gamma_1\\ \gamma_2\end{bmatrix}(\xi,\tau) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp\Big(i\pi(m+\gamma_1)^2\tau + 2i\pi(m+\gamma_1)(\xi+\gamma_2)\Big) \tag{3.20}$$

and the matrices $I_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}$ by

$$I_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)} = g^{\alpha_2}\, h^{\alpha_1} \tag{3.21}$$

the $N\times N$ matrices g and h being given by $g_{ij} = \omega^i\delta_{ij}$ and $h_{ij} = \delta_{i+1,j}$, the addition of indices being understood modulo N and $\omega = e^{2i\pi/N}$.

Finally, the normalization factor $\rho(z,q,p)$ is given by

$$\rho(z,q,p) = \frac{(q^{2N}z^{-2};p,q^{2N})_\infty\,(q^2z^2;p,q^{2N})_\infty\,(pz^{-2};p,q^{2N})_\infty\,(pq^{2N-2}z^2;p,q^{2N})_\infty}{(q^{2N}z^2;p,q^{2N})_\infty\,(q^2z^{-2};p,q^{2N})_\infty\,(pz^2;p,q^{2N})_\infty\,(pq^{2N-2}z^{-2};p,q^{2N})_\infty} \tag{3.22}$$

The matrix (3.18) is $\mathbb{Z}_N$-symmetric, that is

$$R^{c+s\,,\,d+s}_{a+s\,,\,b+s} = R^{c\,,\,d}_{a\,,\,b} \tag{3.23}$$

for any indices $a, b, c, d, s \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.

PROPOSITION 3.2 *The R-matrix* $\widehat{R}(z,q,p)$ *similar* [2] *to* $R(z,q,p)$ *given by*

$$\widehat{R}(z,q,p) = (g^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes g^{\frac{1}{2}}) R(z,q,p) (g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes g^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \tag{3.24}$$

satisfies the following properties:

Yang–Baxter equation: $$\widehat{R}_{12}(z)\,\widehat{R}_{13}(w)\,\widehat{R}_{23}(w/z) = \widehat{R}_{23}(w/z)\,\widehat{R}_{13}(w)\,\widehat{R}_{12}(z) \tag{3.25}$$

unitarity: $$\widehat{R}_{12}(z)\,\widehat{R}_{21}(z^{-1}) = 1 \tag{3.26}$$

crossing symmetry: $$\widehat{R}_{12}(z)^{t_2}\,\widehat{R}_{21}(q^{-N}z^{-1})^{t_2} = 1 \tag{3.27}$$

antisymmetry: $$\widehat{R}_{12}(-z) = \omega\,(g^{-1}\otimes 1)\,\widehat{R}_{12}(z)\,(g\otimes 1) \tag{3.28}$$

One also introduces a modified R-matrix $\widetilde{R}_{12}$

$$\widetilde{R}_{12}(z,q,p) = \tau_N(q^{1/2}z^{-1})\,\widehat{R}_{12}(z,q,p) \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$\tau_N(z) = z^{\frac{2}{N}-2}\,\frac{\Theta_{q^{2N}}(qz^2)}{\Theta_{q^{2N}}(qz^{-2})} \tag{3.30}$$

The R-matrix $\widetilde{R}_{12}$ obeys a quasi-periodicity property:

$$\widetilde{R}_{12}(-p^{\frac{1}{2}}z) = (g^{\frac{1}{2}}hg^{\frac{1}{2}}\otimes 1)^{-1}\left(\widetilde{R}_{21}(z^{-1})\right)^{-1}(g^{\frac{1}{2}}hg^{\frac{1}{2}}\otimes 1) \tag{3.31}$$

The function $\tau_N(z)$ is periodic with period q^N, $\tau_N(q^Nz) = \tau_N(z)$, and satisfies $\tau_N(z^{-1}) = \tau_N(z)^{-1}$.

Consider the algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by the operators $L_{ij}(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L_{ij}(n)\,z^n$ where $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, encapsulated into a $N\times N$ matrix:

$$L(z) = \begin{pmatrix} L_{11}(z) & \cdots & L_{1N}(z) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ L_{N1}(z) & \cdots & L_{NN}(z) \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.32}$$

The algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{gl}(N))$ is defined by imposing the following relations among the $L(z)$ generators:

$$\widetilde{R}_{12}(z/w,q,p)\,L_1(z)\,L_2(w) = L_2(w)\,L_1(z)\,\widetilde{R}^*_{12}(z/w,q,p) \tag{3.33}$$

with $L_1(z) = L(z)\otimes 1$, $L_2(z) = 1\otimes L(z)$ and $\widetilde{R}^*_{12}(z,q,p) = \widetilde{R}_{12}(z,q,p^* = pq^{-2c})$.
The quantum determinant of $L(z)$ given by

$$\text{q-det}\,L(z) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_N}\varepsilon(\sigma)\prod_{i=1}^{N} L_{i,\sigma(i)}(zq^{i-N-1}) \tag{3.34}$$

($\varepsilon(\sigma)$ being the signature of the permutation σ) lies in the center of $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{gl}_N)$. It can be factored out, and set to the value $q^{\frac{c}{2}}$ (c being the central charge) so as to get

$$\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N) = \mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{gl}_N)/\left\langle \text{q-det}\,L - q^{\frac{c}{2}}\right\rangle \tag{3.35}$$

[2] Two R-matrices $R(z)$ and $R'(z)$ are said to be similar if $R'(z) = (A\otimes A)R(z)(A\otimes A)^{-1}$ where A is a non-degenerate operator in the representation space V.

3.1.4 Quasi-Hopf algebra structure for $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)$

Consider the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{sl}_N$ with Cartan basis $\mathfrak{H} = \{h_0, \dots, h_{N-1}, d\}$ and dual basis $\mathfrak{H}^* = \{\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_{N-1}, c\}$ where Λ_i are the fundamental weights, d the derivation and c the central charge. Let τ be the automorphism of order N related to the cyclic symmetry of the extended Dynkin diagram of $\widehat{sl}_N$: $\tau(x_i) = x_{i+1 \mod N}$ where the x_i's denote the Chevalley generators of $\widehat{sl}_N$. One defines

$$\varphi = \tau \circ \mathrm{Ad}\left(q^{2(r+c)\rho/N}\right) \tag{3.36}$$

where $\rho = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \Lambda_i$ gives the principal grading and r is some complex number.
One defines

$$\mathcal{F}(r) = \prod_{k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{F}_k(r) = \prod_{k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} (\varphi^k \otimes \mathrm{id})(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}) \equiv \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{nN\geq k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} (\varphi^k \otimes \mathrm{id})(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}) \tag{3.37}$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}} = q^T \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)] \quad \text{with} \quad T = \frac{1}{N}\left(\rho \otimes c + c \otimes \rho - \frac{N^2-1}{12}\, c \otimes c\right) \tag{3.38}$$

The arrow means that the product has to be done to the left, i.e. $\dots \mathcal{F}_3(r)\, \mathcal{F}_2(r)\, \mathcal{F}_1(r)$.
In ref. [48] the following theorem was proved:

THEOREM 3.1 *The vertex-type Drinfel'd twist* $\mathcal{F}(r) = \prod_{k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} (\varphi^k \otimes \mathrm{id})(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{-1})$ *satisfies the shifted cocycle condition (hence it is a GNF twist)*

$$\mathcal{F}_{12}(r)\, (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\mathcal{F}(r) = \mathcal{F}_{23}(r + c^{(1)})\, (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)\mathcal{F}(r)$$

Moreover, one has $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon)\mathcal{F}(r) = (\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\mathcal{F}(r) = 1$.
The quantum affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ *(where* $p = q^{2r}$*) is a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra with the universal R-matrix* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(r) = \mathcal{F}_{21}(r)\, \mathcal{R}_{12}\, \mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1}(r)$*, where* $\mathcal{R}$ *is the universal R-matrix of* $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_N)$*.* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(r)$ *satisfies the shifted Yang–Baxter equation:*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(r + c^{(3)})\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{13}(r)\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(r + c^{(1)}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(r)\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{13}(r + c^{(2)})\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(r)$$

Sketch of the proof (see [48] for the complete proof). $\mathcal{F}_k(r)$ satisfy the following properties

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{F}_k(r)) = \mathcal{F}_k^{(23)}(r + c^{(1)})\mathcal{F}_k^{(13)}\left(r + c^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2k}\, c^{(2)}\right) \tag{3.39}$$

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{F}_k(r)) = \mathcal{F}_k^{(12)}(r)\mathcal{F}_k^{(13)}\left(r + \frac{1}{2k}\, c^{(2)}\right) \tag{3.40}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_k^{(12)}(r)\mathcal{F}_{k+l}^{(13)}\left(r + \frac{l+\frac{1}{2}}{k+l}\, c^{(2)}\right)\mathcal{F}_l^{(23)}(r + c^{(1)}) = \mathcal{F}_l^{(23)}(r + c^{(1)})\mathcal{F}_{k+l}^{(13)}\left(r + \frac{l-\frac{1}{2}}{k+l}\, c^{(2)}\right)\mathcal{F}_k^{(12)}(r) \tag{3.41}$$

Using equation (3.41), one can prove by induction the following relation

$$\prod_{l\geq k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{F}_k^{(23)}(r+c^{(1)})\ (\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)\big(\mathcal{F}(r)\big) = \prod_{k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{F}_k^{(12)}(r)\ \mathcal{F}_{k+l}^{(13)}\Big(r+\frac{l+\frac{1}{2}}{k+l}\,c^{(2)}\Big) \times \prod_{l\geq k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{F}_k^{(23)}(r+c^{(1)})\ \mathcal{F}_k^{(13)}\Big(r+c^{(2)}-\frac{1}{2k}\,c^{(2)}\Big) \tag{3.42}$$

Letting then $l\to\infty$ and taking into account (3.39), one recovers the shifted cocycle condition. Finally, $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\epsilon)\mathcal{F}(r)=(\epsilon\otimes\mathrm{id})\mathcal{F}(r)=1$ follows obviously from (2.2). ∎

Let us notice that the vertex-type Drinfel'd twist is the (unique) solution of the linear difference equation

$$\mathcal{F}_{12}(r) = \mathrm{Ad}(\varphi^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathcal{F}_{12}(r))\cdot\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{-1} \tag{3.43}$$

such that $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\epsilon)\mathcal{F}(r)=(\epsilon\otimes\mathrm{id})\mathcal{F}(r)=1$.

Example. Consider the two-dimensional evaluation representation of $\widehat{sl}_2$ with evaluation parameter z, in the principal gradation

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_z(e_1)=ze_{12}\ , \qquad \pi_z(f_1)=z^{-1}e_{21}\ , \qquad \pi_z(h_1)=e_{11}-e_{22} \\ &\pi_z(e_0)=ze_{21}\ , \qquad \pi_z(f_0)=z^{-1}e_{12}\ , \qquad \pi_z(h_0)=e_{22}-e_{11} \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

The R-matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ is this representation is given by [compare with (2.20)]

$$R[\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)](z) = \rho(z^2)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dfrac{q(1-z^2)}{1-q^2z^2} & \dfrac{z(1-q^2)}{1-q^2z^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \dfrac{z(1-q^2)}{1-q^2z^2} & \dfrac{q(1-z^2)}{1-q^2z^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.45}$$

where the normalization factor $\rho(z)$ is given by (2.21).

One sets $F(z;p)=\mathrm{Ad}(z^\rho\otimes\mathrm{id})\mathcal{F}(r)$ (p and r being related as above). Using the expression (3.45) of the R-matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ and the definition (3.37) of the Drinfel'd twist, one gets [36, 37]

$$F(z;p) = \rho_F(z;p)\begin{pmatrix} a_F(z) & 0 & 0 & d_F(z) \\ 0 & b_F(z) & c_F(z) & 0 \\ 0 & c_F(z) & b_F(z) & 0 \\ d_F(z) & 0 & 0 & a_F(z) \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.46}$$

where

$$a_F(z)\pm d_F(z) = \frac{(\mp p^{1/2}qz;p)_\infty}{(\mp p^{1/2}q^{-1}z;p)_\infty} \tag{3.47}$$

$$b_F(z)\pm c_F(z) = \frac{(\mp pqz;p)_\infty}{(\mp pq^{-1}z;p)_\infty} \tag{3.48}$$

and the normalization factor is

$$\rho_F(z;p) = \frac{(pz^2;p,q^4)_\infty\,(pq^4z^2;p,q^4)_\infty}{(pq^2z^2;p,q^4)_\infty^2} \tag{3.49}$$

Now, computing the product $F_{21}(z^{-1};p)\,R[\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)](z)\,F_{12}(z;p)^{-1}$, one recovers the expression (3.1) of the R-matrix of $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$.

3.2 The quantum affine elliptic algebras of face type

3.2.1 R-matrix of the affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_2)$

The face-type R-matrices depend on the extra parameters λ belonging to the dual of the Cartan algebra of the underlying algebra. Let $\{h, c, d\}$ be a basis of the Cartan subalgebra of $\widehat{sl}_2$. If r, s, s' are complex numbers, we set $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(s+1)h + s'c + (r+2)d$. The elliptic parameter p and the dynamical parameter w are related to the deformation parameter q by $p = q^{2r}$, $w = q^{2s}$. The R-matrix of $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ is [32, 48]

$$R[\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_2)](z,\lambda) = \rho(z;p) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b(z) & c(z) & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{c}(z) & \bar{b}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.50}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b(z) &= q\frac{(pw^{-1}q^2;p)_\infty\,(pw^{-1}q^{-2};p)_\infty}{(pw^{-1};p)_\infty^2}\,\frac{\Theta_p(z)}{\Theta_p(q^2z)} \\ \bar{b}(z) &= q\frac{(wq^2;p)_\infty\,(wq^{-2};p)_\infty}{(w;p)_\infty^2}\,\frac{\Theta_p(z)}{\Theta_p(q^2z)} \\ c(z) &= \frac{\Theta_p(q^2)}{\Theta_p(w)}\,\frac{\Theta_p(wz)}{\Theta_p(q^2z)} \\ \bar{c}(z) &= \frac{\Theta_p(q^2)}{\Theta_p(w^{-1})}\,\frac{\Theta_p(w^{-1}z)}{\Theta_p(q^2z)} \end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

The normalization factor is

$$\rho(z;p) = q^{-1/2}\frac{(q^2z;p,q^4)_\infty^2}{(z;p,q^4)_\infty\,(q^4z;p,q^4)_\infty}\,\frac{(pz^{-1};p,q^4)_\infty\,(pq^4z^{-1};p,q^4)_\infty}{(pq^2z^{-1};p,q^4)_\infty^2} \tag{3.52}$$

The elliptic algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ is then defined by

$$R_{12}(z_1/z_2,\lambda+h)\,L_1(z_1,\lambda)\,L_2(z_2,\lambda+h^{(1)}) = L_2(z_2,\lambda)\,L_1(z_1,\lambda+h^{(2)})\,R_{12}(z_1/z_2,\lambda) \tag{3.53}$$

3.2.2 Generalization to $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$

Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be the Cartan subalgebra of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{sl}_N$, with basis $\{h_i\}$ and dual basis $\{h_i^\vee\}$, and $\Pi^0 = \{\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{N-1}\}$ be the corresponding simple root system of $\widehat{sl}_N$. We set $\lambda = \rho + s_1h_1 + \ldots + s_{N-1}h_{N-1} + (r+N)d + s'c$ where $\rho \in \mathfrak{H}$ is such that $(\rho, \alpha_i) = 1$. The R-matrix of the quantum affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ takes the following form $(1 \le a, b \le N)$ [32]:

$$\begin{aligned} R[\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)](z,\lambda) = \rho(z;p)\Big(&\sum_a E_{aa}\otimes E_{aa} + \sum_{a\neq b} q^2\frac{\Theta_p(q^{-2}w_{ab})}{\Theta_p(w_{ab})}\,\frac{\Theta_p(z)}{\Theta_p(q^2z)}\,E_{aa}\otimes E_{bb} \\ &+ \sum_{a\neq b}\frac{\Theta_p(q^2)}{\Theta_p(w_{ab})}\,\frac{\Theta_p(w_{ab}z)}{\Theta_p(q^2z)}\,E_{ab}\otimes E_{ba}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

where the E_{ab} are the elementary $N\times N$ matrices with entries $(E_{ab})_i^j=\delta_{ai}\delta_{jb}$, $w_{ab}=q^{x_a-x_b}$, $x_a=2s_a-2s_{a-1}$ with by convention $s_0=s_N=0$.
The normalization factor $\rho(z)$ is given by

$$\rho(z;p)=q^{-\frac{N-1}{N}}\,\frac{(q^2z;q^{2N},p)_\infty\,(q^{2N-2}z;q^{2N},p)_\infty}{(z;q^{2N},p)_\infty\,(q^{2N}z;q^{2N},p)_\infty}\,\frac{(pz^{-1};q^{2N},p)_\infty\,(pq^{2N}z^{-1};q^{2N},p)_\infty}{(pq^2z^{-1};q^{2N},p)_\infty\,(pq^{2N-2}z^{-1};q^{2N},p)_\infty} \tag{3.55}$$

The R-matrix of $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ used in [48] is obtained from (3.54) by a similarity transformation. It reads

$$\begin{aligned}R[\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)](z,\lambda)=\rho(z;p)\Big(&\sum_a E_{aa}\otimes E_{aa}+\sum_{a\neq b}\frac{\Theta_p(q^2)}{\Theta_p(w_{ab})}\,\frac{\Theta_p(w_{ab}z)}{\Theta_p(q^2z)}\,E_{ab}\otimes E_{ba}\\&+\sum_{a<b}q\,\frac{(pw_{ab}^{-1}q^2;p)_\infty\,(pw_{ab}^{-1}q^{-2};p)_\infty}{(pw_{ab}^{-1};p)_\infty^2}\,\frac{\Theta_p(z)}{\Theta_p(q^2z)}\,E_{aa}\otimes E_{bb}\\&+\sum_{a>b}q\,\frac{(w_{ab}^{-1}q^2;p)_\infty\,(w_{ab}^{-1}q^{-2};p)_\infty}{(w_{ab}^{-1};p)_\infty^2}\,\frac{\Theta_p(z)}{\Theta_p(q^2z)}\,E_{aa}\otimes E_{bb}\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

3.2.3 Quasi-Hopf algebra structure for $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$

One considers the following automorphism of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_N)$, where $\lambda\in\mathfrak{H}$

$$\varphi=\mathrm{Ad}\left(q^{\sum_i h_ih_i^\vee+2(\lambda-\rho)}\right) \tag{3.57}$$

One defines the face-type Drinfel'd twist by

$$\mathcal{F}(\lambda)=\prod_{k\geq1}^{\leftarrow}\mathcal{F}_k(\lambda)=\prod_{k\geq1}^{\leftarrow}(\varphi^k\otimes\mathrm{id})(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}) \tag{3.58}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}=q^T\mathcal{R}$ and $T=\sum_i h_i\otimes h_i^\vee$.
In ref. [48] the following theorem was proved:

THEOREM 3.2 *The face-type Drinfel'd twist* $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)=\prod_{k\geq1}^{\leftarrow}(\varphi^k\otimes\mathrm{id})(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{-1})$ *satisfies the shifted cocycle condition (hence it is a GNF twist)*

$$\mathcal{F}_{12}(\lambda)\,(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})\mathcal{F}(\lambda)=\mathcal{F}_{23}(\lambda+h^{(1)})\,(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$$

Moreover, one has $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\epsilon)\mathcal{F}(\lambda)=(\epsilon\otimes\mathrm{id})\mathcal{F}(\lambda)=1$.
The quantum affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ *is a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra with the universal R-matrix* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(\lambda)=\mathcal{F}_{21}(\lambda)\,\mathcal{R}_{12}\,\mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1}(\lambda)$, *where* $\mathcal{R}$ *is the universal R-matrix of* $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_N)$. $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(\lambda)$ *satisfies the dynamical Yang–Baxter equation:*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(\lambda+h^{(3)})\,\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{13}(\lambda)\,\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(\lambda+h^{(1)})=\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(\lambda)\,\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{13}(\lambda+h^{(2)})\,\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(\lambda)$$

The proof follows the same lines as for the vertex case. In particular the equations (3.39) to (3.42) have the same form with the replacement $r \to \lambda$ and $c \to h$.

Let us emphasize that the face-type Drinfel'd twist is the (unique) solution of the linear difference equation

$$\mathcal{F}_{12}(\lambda) = \mathrm{Ad}(\varphi^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{F}_{12}(\lambda)) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{-1} \tag{3.59}$$

such that $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon)\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = (\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = 1$. The importance of this equation will be pointed out in the example just below.

Example. In the two-dimensional evaluation representation for $\widehat{sl}_2$ in the homogeneous gradation eq. (2.19), one sets $F(z;p,w) = \mathrm{Ad}(z^\rho \otimes \mathrm{id})\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$. Unlike the vertex case, using the expression (2.20) of the R-matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$, the infinite product (3.58) defining the face-type Drinfel'd twist cannot be evaluated directly. In fact, equation (3.59) is the only way to obtain the solution. In the two-dimensional evaluation representation under consideration, the linear difference equation becomes

$$F_{12}(pz;p,w) = \mathrm{diag}(1,1,w,w)\, F_{12}(z;p,w)\, \mathrm{diag}(1,1,w^{-1},w^{-1})\, \mathrm{diag}(q^{\frac{1}{2}},q^{-\frac{1}{2}},q^{-\frac{1}{2}},q^{\frac{1}{2}})\, R_{12}(pz;p,w) \tag{3.60}$$

Therefore, each entry of $F_{12}(z;p,w)$ satisfies a difference equation of hypergeometric type. One gets finally

$$F(z;p,w) = \rho_F(z;p,w) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_{11}(z) & X_{12}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & X_{21}(z) & X_{22}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.61}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_{11}(z) &= {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} wq^2 & q^2 \\ & w \end{matrix} ; p, pq^{-2}z \right) \\ X_{12}(z) &= \frac{w(q-q^{-1})}{1-w}\, {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} wq^2 & pq^2 \\ & pw \end{matrix} ; p, pq^{-2}z \right) \\ X_{21}(z) &= z\, \frac{pw^{-1}(q-q^{-1})}{1-pw^{-1}}\, {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} pw^{-1}q^2 & pq^2 \\ & p^2w^{-1} \end{matrix} ; p, pq^{-2}z \right) \\ X_{22}(z) &= {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} pw^{-1}q^2 & q^2 \\ & pw^{-1} \end{matrix} ; p, pq^{-2}z \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

and the normalization factor is

$$\rho_F(z;p) = \frac{(pz;p,q^4)_\infty\, (pq^4z;p,q^4)_\infty}{(pq^2z;p,q^4)_\infty^2} \tag{3.63}$$

The q-hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} q^a & q^b \\ & q^c \end{matrix} ; q, z \right)$ is defined by

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} q^a & q^b \\ & q^c \end{matrix} ; q, z \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^a;q)_n (q^b;q)_n}{(q^c;q)_n (q;q)_n}\, z^n \tag{3.64}$$

Computing the product $F_{21}(z^{-1};p,w)\,R(z)\,F_{12}(z;p,w)^{-1}$, where $R(z)$ is the R-matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ given by (2.20), one recovers the expression (3.50) of the R-matrix of $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_2)$.

This example shows that the linear equation (3.59) indeed plays a crucial role. This kind of linear equation was first introduced in [20] in the context of complex continuation of $6j$ symbols. It was then exploited in [7] in the determination and the proof of convergence of the dynamical Drinfel'd twists for quantum groups based on finite-dimensional Lie algebras.

REMARK 3.2 In the face case, the whole construction generalizes without difficulty to any Kac–Moody affine algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. $\mathfrak{H}$ being a Cartan subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, one defines the Drinfel'd twist $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$ by formula (3.58), where $\mathcal{R}$ is the universal R-matrix of the quantum affine algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. The proof of the cocycle condition follows the same lines as in the $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ case. Hence one can define a quantum affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ for any Kac–Moody affine algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.
One can notice that the situation is rather different in the vertex case. Indeed, a cyclic automorphism of the simple root system of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of order $r = \operatorname{rank}\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ arises in the definition of the vertex-type Drinfel'd twist (3.37), which exists only in the $\widehat{sl}_N$ case. □

3.3 Degenerations of the quantum affine elliptic algebras

3.3.1 Scaling limit procedures

The so-called scaling limit of an algebra will be understood as the algebra defined by the scaling limit of the R-matrix of the initial structure. It is obtained by setting in the R-matrix $p = q^{2r}$ (elliptic nome), $w = q^s$ (dynamical parameter) and $z = q^u$ (spectral parameter) with $q \to 1$, and r, s, u being kept fixed. The spectral parameter in the Lax operator is now to be taken as u (which becomes additive). The R-matrices obtained from the scaling limits of the R-matrices of the quantum affine elliptic algebras of vertex or face type will be discussed in section 4. It will be shown that the corresponding algebraic structures appear to be deformations of double Yangians, and that these deformed double Yangians are indeed quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras.

3.3.2 The Sklyanin algebra

The Sklyanin algebra [68, 69] is constructed from $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ taken at $c = 0$. The R-matrix (3.1) can be written as

$$R(z) = 1 \otimes 1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} W_\alpha(z)\sigma_\alpha \otimes \sigma_\alpha \tag{3.65}$$

where σ_α are the Pauli matrices and $W_\alpha(z)$ are expressed in terms of the Jacobi elliptic functions. A particular z-dependence of the $L(z)$ operators is chosen, leading to a factorization of the z-dependence in the RLL relations. Indeed, setting

$$L(z) = S_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} W_\alpha(z) S_\alpha \sigma_\alpha \tag{3.66}$$

one obtains an algebra with four generators S^α ($\alpha = 0, \dots, 3$) and commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [S_0, S_\alpha] &= -iJ_{\beta\gamma}(S_\beta S_\gamma + S_\gamma S_\beta) \\ [S_\alpha, S_\beta] &= i(S_0 S_\gamma + S_\gamma S_0) \end{aligned} \tag{3.67}$$

where $J_{\alpha\beta} = \dfrac{W_\alpha^2 - W_\beta^2}{W_\gamma^2 - 1}$ and α, β, γ are cyclic permutations of 1, 2, 3. The structure functions $J_{\alpha\beta}$ are actually independent of z. Hence we get an algebra where the z-dependence has been dropped out.

3.3.3 The dynamical algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\mathfrak{g})$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra, with symmetrized Cartan matrix (A_{ij}^{sym}) and inverse $A^{sym\,-1} = (d_{ij})$. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with basis $\{h_i\}$ and dual basis $\{h_i^\vee\}$. The positive root system Π^+ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is endowed with a normal ordering $\prec$, i.e. if $\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in \Pi^+$ and $[\alpha, \beta]$ is a minimal segment containing $\alpha+\beta$, one sets $\alpha \prec \alpha+\beta \prec \beta$. The universal R-matrix of the quantum universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by

$$\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})] = \Big(\prod_{\gamma\in\Pi^+}^{\rightarrow} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\gamma \Big) \mathcal{K} \tag{3.68}$$

where the arrow means that the product has to be done with respect to the normal ordering $\prec$ defined on $\widehat{\Pi}^+$ and the factors $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\gamma$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\gamma = \exp_{q^{-(\gamma,\gamma)}} \Big(-(q-q^{-1}) e_\gamma \otimes f_\gamma \Big) \tag{3.69}$$

e_γ, f_γ are the root generators associated to the root $\gamma \in \Pi^+$ and $\mathcal{K} = q^{-\sum_{ij} d_{ij} h_i \otimes h_j}$.

Let us enounce the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3 (*see refs.* [7, 48]) *Let $\phi = q^{\sum_{ij} d_{ij} h_i h_j + 2\sum_i s_i h_i}$ where s_i are complex numbers. The linear equation in $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$*

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{Ad}(\phi^{-1} \otimes 1)(\mathcal{F})\, \mathcal{K}^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{K} \tag{3.70}$$

has a unique solution in $(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{B}^+) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{B}^-))^c$, with projection $1 \otimes 1$ on $(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{H})^{\otimes 2})^c$, where the superscript c denotes a suitable completion. It is expressed as

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{K}^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{K} \qquad \textit{where} \qquad \widehat{\mathcal{F}} = \prod_{k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} \mathrm{Ad}(\phi \otimes 1)^k \Big(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{-1} \Big) \tag{3.71}$$

This solution satisfies the shifted cocycle relation

$$\mathcal{F}_{12}(w)(\Delta \otimes 1)(\mathcal{F}(w)) = \mathcal{F}_{23}(w q^{h^{\vee(1)}})(1 \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{F}(w)) \tag{3.72}$$

with $w = (w_1, \dots, w_{r_\mathfrak{g}}) = q^s = (q^{s_1}, \dots, q^{s_{r_\mathfrak{g}}}) \in \mathbb{C}^{r_\mathfrak{g}}$, $w q^{h^\vee} = (w_1 q^{h_1^\vee}, \dots, w_{r_\mathfrak{g}} q^{h_{r_\mathfrak{g}}^\vee})$ and $h_i^\vee = \sum_j d_{ij} h_j$. Hence $\mathcal{F}(w)$ is a GNF twist.

The twist $\mathcal{F}$ leads to the R-matrix $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{F}_{21}\mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1}$, which defines the algebra denoted $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Elements of proof. Expanding the product formula (3.68) with respect to a Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt basis ordered with $\prec$, $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ reads

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R}\mathcal{K}^{-1} = 1 \otimes 1 + \sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}^*} \sigma_m \, \mathbf{e}^m \otimes \mathbf{f}^m \tag{3.73}$$

where $\mathcal{Z} = \mathrm{Map}(\Pi^+, \mathbb{N})$ and $\mathcal{Z}^* = \mathcal{Z} \setminus \{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$. The term $\mathbf{e}^m$ (resp. $\mathbf{f}^m$) denotes an element of the PBW basis of the deformed enveloping nilpotent subalgebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathcal{N}^+)$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathcal{N}^-)$). Under the assumptions of the theorem,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = 1 \otimes 1 + \sum_{\{p,r\} \in (\mathcal{Z}^*)^2} \varphi_{pr}(w) \, \mathbf{e}^p \otimes \mathbf{f}^r \tag{3.74}$$

where the $\varphi_{pr}(w)$ belong to $\mathbb{C}[[s_1, .., s_{r_\mathfrak{g}}, s_1^{-1}, .., s_{r_\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}, \hbar]] \otimes (\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{H})^{\otimes 2})^c$. They are defined recursively, using (3.70), by

$$\left(1 - q^{(-2h^\vee(1) + \gamma_p - s, \gamma_p)}\right) \varphi_{pr}(w) = \sum_{\substack{k+m=p \\ l+m=r \\ m \neq 0}} (-1)^{[l][m]} a_p^{km} b_r^{lm} \sigma_m q^{(-2h^\vee(1) + \gamma_k - s, \gamma_k)} \, \varphi_{kl}(w) \tag{3.75}$$

In the above equation, γ_p is the element of the root lattice associated to $\mathbf{e}^p$. The scalar product $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ is given by $(x, y) \equiv \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}^{sym} x_i y_j$. The numbers a_p^{km} and b_r^{lm} are defined by

$$\mathbf{e}^k \mathbf{e}^m \;=\; \sum_{p \in \mathcal{Z}} a_p^{km} \mathbf{e}^p \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{f}^l \mathbf{f}^m \;=\; \sum_{r \in \mathcal{Z}} b_r^{lm} \mathbf{f}^r \tag{3.76}$$

■

Example. In the fundamental representation for $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$, we get for the expression of the twist

$$F(w) = 1 \otimes 1 + \frac{w(q - q^{-1})}{1 - w} \, E_{12} \otimes E_{21} \tag{3.77}$$

The R-matrix of $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(sl_2)$ is then given by

$$R[\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(sl_2)](w) = q^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & \dfrac{1-q^2}{1-w} & 0 \\ 0 & -\dfrac{w(1-q^2)}{1-w} & \dfrac{q(1-wq^2)(1-wq^{-2})}{(1-w)^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.78}$$

3.3.4 Non-elliptic limits

Starting from the R-matrix of $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_2)$, and taking the limit $p \to 0$, one gets a R-matrix with still a dynamical dependence, which defines the algebra $\mathcal{U}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_2)$. It reads

$$R[\mathcal{U}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_2)](z, w) = \rho(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dfrac{q(1-z)}{1-q^2 z} & \dfrac{(1-q^2)(1-wz)}{(1-q^2 z)(1-w)} & 0 \\ 0 & \dfrac{(1-q^2)(z-w)}{(1-q^2 z)(1-w)} & \dfrac{q(1-z)}{(1-q^2 z)} \dfrac{(1-wq^2)(1-wq^{-2})}{(1-w)^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.79}$$

The normalization factor is

$$\rho(z) = q^{-1/2}\ \frac{(q^2z;q^4)_\infty^2}{(z;q^4)_\infty\ (q^4z;q^4)_\infty} \tag{3.80}$$

This algebra can be seen as a dynamical version of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$. This can be easily generalized to any Kac–Moody (untwisted) affine algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. The Hopf structure of these algebras $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is determined by the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.3 *The twist (3.71) applied to the universal R-matrix of* $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ *leads to the R-matrix of a QTQHA denoted* $\mathcal{U}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Proof. Using the fact that $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$, the twist (3.71) can be used to construct the dynamical algebra $\mathcal{U}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Indeed, the twist $\mathcal{F}$ (3.71) seen as an element of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})^{\otimes 2}$ satisfies the shifted cocycle condition, yielding a dynamical R-matrix

$$\mathcal{R}_{U_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})}(w) = \mathcal{F}_{21}(w)\ \mathcal{R}_{U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})}\ \mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1}(w) \tag{3.81}$$

■

PROPOSITION 3.4 *The evaluation representation of* $\mathcal{R}_{U_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})}(w)$ *for* $\mathfrak{g} = sl_N$, *is identified with the* $p \to 0$ *limit of the evaluation representation of the elliptic* $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ *R-matrix (3.54).*

Proof. Direct computation of the matrix elements $R_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1 j_2}$ of the R-matrix of $\mathcal{U}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_N)$ $(1 \le a, b \le N)$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned} R = \rho(z)\Big(&\sum_a E_{aa}\otimes E_{aa} + \sum_{a,b} \frac{(1-q^2)(1-w_{ab}z)}{(1-q^2z)(1-w_{ab})} E_{ab}\otimes E_{ba} \\ &+ \sum_{a<b} \frac{q(1-z)}{1-q^2z} E_{aa}\otimes E_{bb} + \sum_{a>b} \frac{q(1-z)}{(1-q^2z)}\ \frac{(1-w_{ab}q^2)(1-w_{ab}q^{-2})}{(1-w_{ab})^2} E_{aa}\otimes E_{bb}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.82}$$

the normalization factor being given by

$$\rho(z) = q^{-\frac{N-1}{N}}\ \frac{(q^2z;q^{2N})_\infty\ (q^{2N-2}z;q^{2N})_\infty}{(z;q^{2N})_\infty\ (q^{2N}z;q^{2N})_\infty} \tag{3.83}$$

We recognize the limit $p \to 0$ of the R-matrix (3.54). The R-matrix (3.82) satisfies the dynamical Yang–Baxter equation (2.36). ■

4 Double Yangians and related structures

4.1 Yangians

4.1.1 Definition of the Yangians

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra and consider $\mathfrak{g}[u] = \mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[u]$, where $\mathbb{C}[u]$ is the ring of polynomials in the indeterminate u (by misuse of language, we will call $\mathfrak{g}[u]$ the half-loop

algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$). $\mathfrak{g}[u]$ is endowed with its standard bialgebra structure $\delta : \mathfrak{g}[u] \to \mathfrak{g}[u] \otimes \mathfrak{g}[u]$ defined by (note that $\mathfrak{g}[u] \otimes \mathfrak{g}[u]$ is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g})[u, v]$ where v is a second indeterminate)

$$\delta(f)(u, v) = \left[f(u) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f(v)\,,\, \frac{C}{u - v} \right] \tag{4.1}$$

where C is the second order tensorial Casimir element of $\mathfrak{g}$ associated to a given invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ (for example the Killing form).
Let $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ be the ring of formal power series in the inderterminate $\hbar$. Then there exists a unique quantization $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ of $(\mathfrak{g}[u], \delta)$ which is a graded Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$, the gradation being defined by setting $\deg \hbar = 1$ [26], i.e.

$$U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u])/\hbar U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u]) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g}[u]) \text{ as graded algebras over } \mathbb{C} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\hbar}\big(\Delta - \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}\big)(x)\big|_{\mod \hbar} = \delta\big(x\big|_{\mod \hbar}\big) \quad \text{for } x \in U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u]) \tag{4.3}$$

$\mathcal{U}_{\hbar=1}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, which is called the Yangian of $\mathfrak{g}$ and is denoted by $Y(\mathfrak{g})$. It has been introduced by Drinfel'd in ref. [25].

There exists for the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ three different realizations, due to Drinfel'd [25, 26, 27]. In the first realization the Yangian is generated by the elements J_0^a of the Lie algebra and a set of other generators J_1^a in one-to-one correspondance with J_0^a realizing a representation space thereof. The second realization is given in terms of generators and relations similar to the description of the loop algebra as a space of maps. However in this realization no explicit formula for the comultiplication is known in general. The third realization is obtained in the FRT formalism.

4.1.2 FRT formalism for the Yangians

The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ can be constructed in the FRT formalism as follows.
Let $\mathcal{U}(R)$ be the algebra generated by the operators $T^{ij}_{(n)}$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, encapsulated into a $N \times N$ matrix ($E_{ij} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ are the standard elementary matrices)

$$T(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_{(n)}\, u^{-n} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T^{ij}_{(n)}\, u^{-n}\, E_{ij} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} T^{ij}(u)\, E_{ij} \tag{4.4}$$

and $T^{ij}_{(0)} = \delta_{ij}$, imposing the following constraints on $T(u)$

$$R_{12}(u - v)\, T_1(u)\, T_2(v) = T_2(v)\, T_1(u)\, R_{12}(u - v) \tag{4.5}$$

where R_{12} is a $N \times N$ matrix which is a rational solution of the Yang–Baxter equation.
The Hopf algebra structure of $\mathcal{U}(R)$ is given by [30]

$$\Delta\big(T^{ij}(u)\big) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} T^{ik}(u) \otimes T^{kj}(u) \quad ; \quad S(T(u)) = T(u)^{-1} \quad ; \quad \epsilon(T(u)) = \mathbb{I}_N \tag{4.6}$$

In the case of $\mathfrak{g} = sl(N)$, the matrix $R_{12}(u)$ is given by

$$R(u) = \mathbb{I}_N + \frac{P}{u} \tag{4.7}$$

where $\mathbb{I}_N$ is the $N\times N$ unit matrix and $P = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji}$ is the permutation matrix. The explicit commutation relations between the generators $T^{ij}_{(n)}$ read $(m, n \geq 0)$

$$\left[T^{ij}_{(m+1)}\,,\, T^{kl}_{(n)}\right] - \left[T^{ij}_{(m)}\,,\, T^{kl}_{(n+1)}\right] = T^{kj}_{(n)}\, T^{il}_{(m)} - T^{kj}_{(m)}\, T^{il}_{(n)} \tag{4.8}$$

The quantum determinant of $T(u)$ defined by

$$\text{q-det}\, T(u) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_N} \varepsilon(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{N} T^{i,\sigma(i)}(u - N + i) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} c_n u^{-n} \tag{4.9}$$

where $\varepsilon(\sigma)$ is the signature of the permutation σ, lies in the center of $\mathcal{U}(R)$ [66]. Moreover, the center of $\mathcal{U}(R)$ is generated by the coefficients c_n and one has $\Delta(\text{q-det}\, T(u)) = \text{q-det}\, T(u) \otimes \text{q-det}\, T(u)$. The Yangian $Y(sl(N))$ is then identified as the quotient algebra $\mathcal{U}(R)/\big\langle \text{q-det}\, T(u) - 1\big\rangle$.

In the case of $\mathfrak{g} = so(N)$ or $sp(N)$, the matrix $R_{12}(u)$ take the form [2, 25, 64]

$$R(u) = \mathbb{I}_N + \frac{P}{u} - \frac{K}{u+\kappa} \tag{4.10}$$

where $\mathbb{I}_N$ and P are defined as above and $K = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \epsilon_i\epsilon_j E_{\bar{\imath}\bar{\jmath}} \otimes E_{ji}$ with $\bar{\imath} = N + 1 - i$. For $so(N)$, $\epsilon_i = 1$ for all i, while for $sp(N)$ with $N = 2n$, $\epsilon_i = 1$ if $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\epsilon_i = -1$ if $n + 1 \leq i \leq N$. The commutation relations between the generators $T^{ij}_{(n)}$ become now

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[T^{ij}_{(m+2)}, T^{kl}_{(n)}\right] - \left[T^{ij}_{(m)}, T^{kl}_{(n+2)}\right] &= 2\left[T^{ij}_{(m+1)}, T^{kl}_{(n+1)}\right] - \kappa\left[T^{ij}_{(m+1)}, T^{kl}_{(n)}\right] + \kappa\left[T^{ij}_{(m)}, T^{kl}_{(n+1)}\right] \\
&+ T^{kj}_{(n)} T^{il}_{(m+1)} - T^{kj}_{(m+1)} T^{il}_{(n)} - T^{kj}_{(n+1)} T^{il}_{(m)} + T^{kj}_{(m)} T^{il}_{(n+1)} + \kappa T^{kj}_{(n)} T^{il}_{(m)} - \kappa T^{kj}_{(m)} T^{il}_{(n)} \\
&+ \sum_r \Big(\delta_{i\bar{k}}\, \epsilon_{\bar{\imath}}\epsilon_{\bar{r}} \left(T^{rj}_{(m+1)} T^{\bar{r}l}_{(n)} - T^{rj}_{(m)} T^{\bar{r}l}_{(n+1)} \right) - \delta_{j\bar{l}}\, \epsilon_{\bar{r}}\epsilon_{\bar{\jmath}} \left(T^{k\bar{r}}_{(n)} T^{ir}_{(m+1)} - T^{ir}_{(m+1)} T^{k\bar{r}}_{(m)} \right) \Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where $m, n \geq -2$ and by convention $T^{ij}_{(n)} = 0$ for $n < 0$.
The operators generated by $C^{ij}(u) = \sum_k \epsilon_i\epsilon_k T^{\bar{k}\bar{\imath}}(u - \kappa)\, T^{kj}(u)$ are such that $C^{ij}(u) = \delta_{ij}\, c(u)$. The element $c(u)$ lies in the center of $\mathcal{U}(R)$ and satisfies $\Delta(c(u)) = c(u) \otimes c(u)$. It generates a Hopf ideal. The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ is then given by the quotient algebra $\mathcal{U}(R)/\big\langle c(u) - 1\big\rangle$.

4.1.3 Drinfel'd second realization of the Yangians

The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to the associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with generators $e_{i,n}$, $f_{i,n}$ and $h_{i,n}$ where $i = 1, \ldots, r$ (r is the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$) and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and defining relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&[h_{i,m}, h_{j,n}] = 0 && [e_{i,m}, f_{j,n}] = \delta_{ij} h_{i,m+n} \\
&[h_{i,0}, e_{j,n}] = 2\alpha_{ij} e_{j,n} && [h_{i,0}, f_{j,n}] = -2\alpha_{ij} f_{j,n} \\
&[h_{i,m+1}, e_{j,n}] - [h_{i,m}, e_{j,n+1}] = \alpha_{ij}\{h_{i,m}, e_{j,n}\} \\
&[h_{i,m+1}, f_{j,n}] - [h_{i,m}, f_{j,n+1}] = -\alpha_{ij}\{h_{i,m}, f_{j,n}\} \\
&[e_{i,m+1}, e_{j,n}] - [e_{i,m}, e_{j,n+1}] = \alpha_{ij}\{e_{i,m}, e_{j,n}\} \\
&[f_{i,m+1}, f_{j,n}] - [f_{i,m}, f_{j,n+1}] = -\alpha_{ij}\{f_{i,m}, f_{j,n}\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

and for $i \neq j$ with $n_{ij} = 1 - A_{ij}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n_{ij}}} [e_{i,m_{\sigma(1)}}, [e_{i,m_{\sigma(2)}}, \dots, [e_{i,m_{\sigma(n_{ij})}}, e_{j,n}]]] = 0 \\ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n_{ij}}} [f_{i,m_{\sigma(1)}}, [f_{i,m_{\sigma(2)}}, \dots, [f_{i,m_{\sigma(n_{ij})}}, f_{j,n}]]] = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where $\alpha_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{sym}$ and (A_{ij}^{sym}) is the symmetrized Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{g}$ properly normalized, as explained in section 2.1.2.

Unfortunately the Hopf structure for this presentation of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ is not explicit and no formula for the comultiplication of the generators $e_{i,n}$, $f_{i,n}$ and $h_{i,n}$ is known in the general case. In the case of sl_2, in terms of the generating functions (the index i is omitted),

$$e^+(u) = \sum_{k \geq 0} e_k u^{-k-1} \quad f^+(u) = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_k u^{-k-1} \quad h^+(u) = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 0} h_k u^{-k-1} \tag{4.14}$$

the explicit formulae for the Hopf structure take the form [65]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(e^+(u)) &= e^+(u) \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (f^+(u+1))^k h^+(u) \otimes (e^+(u))^{k+1} \\ \Delta(f^+(u)) &= 1 \otimes f^+(u) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (f^+(u))^{k+1} \otimes h^+(u) (e^+(u+1))^k \\ \Delta(h^+(u)) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (k+1) (f^+(u+1))^k h^+(u) \otimes h^+(u) (e^+(u+1))^k \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

for the coproduct,

$$\begin{aligned} S(e^+(u)) &= -\big(h^+(u) + f^+(u+1) e^+(u)\big)^{-1} e^+(u) \\ S(f^+(u)) &= -f^+(u) \big(h^+(u) + f^+(u) e^+(u+1)\big)^{-1} \\ S(h^+(u)) &= \big(h^+(u) + f^+(u+1) e^+(u)\big)^{-1} \Big(1 - f^+(u+1) \big(h^+(u) + f^+(u+1) e^+(u)\big)^{-1} e^+(u)\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

for the antipode, and

$$\epsilon(e^+(u)) = 0 \qquad \epsilon(f^+(u)) = 0 \qquad \epsilon(h^+(u)) = 1 \tag{4.17}$$

for the counit.

The link between the FRT formalism of the Yangian and the Drinfel'd second realization of the Yangian is given in the sl_2 case by the following Hopf isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} e^+(u) &\mapsto T^{22}(u)^{-1} \, T^{12}(u) \\ f^+(u) &\mapsto T^{21}(u) \, T^{22}(u)^{-1} \\ h^+(u) &\mapsto T^{11}(u) \, T^{22}(u)^{-1} - T^{21}(u) \, T^{22}(u)^{-1} \, T^{12}(u) \, T^{22}(u)^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

The corresponding result for the sl_N case is rather cumbersome and is explicited in [42]. Note that the Hopf structure (4.6) and the isomorphism (4.18) – or its generalization – allows one in principle to derive equations (4.15)–(4.17). In practice this seems tractable in the sl_2 case only.

REMARK 4.1 Following a remark of Drinfel'd [26], the commutation relations (4.12) of the Yangian can be obtained by the following construction, relying on the fact that $Y(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq A/\hbar A$ where A is a suitable subsalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})_{c=0} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((\hbar))$ and $q = e^{\hbar}$. Consider the Drinfel'd basis of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ (see section 2.1.3) with generators $\mathcal{K}_{i,n}$, $\mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{i,n}$ (with $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$) satisfying the commutation relations (2.16) and set $C \equiv e^{\hbar c} = 1$. One defines for n non-negative integer [the following calculations are due to A.I. Molev]

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^{\pm\,(\ell)}_{i,n} &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\hbar^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{X}^{\pm}_{i,k+\ell} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{i,n}{}^{(\ell)} &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\hbar^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \frac{\Psi^{+}_{i,k+\ell} - \Psi^{-}_{i,k+\ell}}{q - q^{-1}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

which satisfy

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^{\pm\,(\ell)}_{i,n} = \hbar\, \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^{\pm}_{i,n+1}{}^{(\ell-1)} + \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^{\pm\,(\ell-1)}_{i,n} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{i,n}{}^{(\ell)} = \hbar\, \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{i,n+1}{}^{(\ell-1)} + \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{i,n}{}^{(\ell-1)} \tag{4.20}$$

Then the commutation relations between the generators $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^{\pm\,(0)}_{i,n}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{i,n}{}^{(0)}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) in the quotient $A/\hbar A$ are equivalent to the commutation relations of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ with the identification $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^{+\,(0)}_{i,n} \mapsto e_{i,n}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^{-\,(0)}_{i,n} \mapsto f_{i,n}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{i,n}{}^{(0)} \mapsto h_{i,n}$. □

4.2 Double Yangians

4.2.1 Notion of quantum double

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{A}'$ be two finite-dimensional Hopf algebras and $\mathcal{R}$ an invertible element of $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}'$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta^{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R}) &= \mathcal{R}_{13}\, \mathcal{R}_{23} \qquad & (S^{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R}) &= \mathcal{R}^{-1} \\ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta^{\mathfrak{A}'})(\mathcal{R}) &= \mathcal{R}_{12}\, \mathcal{R}_{13} \qquad & (\mathrm{id} \otimes S^{\mathfrak{A}'})(\mathcal{R}) &= \mathcal{R}^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Then $\mathfrak{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{A}$ is also a Hopf algebra with coalgebra structure given by ($a' \otimes a \in \mathfrak{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{A}$)

$$\text{coproduct:} \qquad \Delta(a' \otimes a) = \mathcal{R}_{23}\, \Delta^{\mathfrak{A}'}_{13}(a')\, \Delta^{\mathfrak{A}}_{24}(a) \mathcal{R}^{-1}_{23} \tag{4.22}$$

$$\text{antipode:} \qquad S(a' \otimes a) = \mathcal{R}^{-1}_{21} \left(S^{\mathfrak{A}'}(a') \otimes S^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) \right) \mathcal{R}_{21} \tag{4.23}$$

$$\text{counit:} \qquad \epsilon(a' \otimes a) = \epsilon^{\mathfrak{A}'}(a')\, \epsilon^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) \tag{4.24}$$

This Hopf algebra is denoted $\mathfrak{A}' \underset{\mathcal{R}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}$.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{op}}$ be the Hopf algebra with opposite multiplication $m_{\mathrm{op}} = m \circ \sigma$, $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$ be the Hopf algebra with opposite comultiplication $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} = \sigma \circ \Delta$ and $\mathfrak{A}^*$ be the dual Hopf algebra of $\mathfrak{A}$. One denotes by $\{a_i\}$ a basis of $\mathfrak{A}$ and by $\{a_i^*\}$ the dual basis of $\mathfrak{A}^*$. Consider the element $\mathcal{R} \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathfrak{A}^*$ given by $\mathcal{R} = \sum_i a_i \otimes a_i^*$. Then $\mathcal{R}$ satisfies (4.21). It follows that $\mathfrak{A}^* \underset{\mathcal{R}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{op}}$ is a Hopf algebra as described above.

PROPOSITION 4.1 *The algebra* $\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{A}^* \underset{\mathcal{R}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_{\rm op})^*$ *is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra (isomorphic to* $\mathfrak{A} \otimes (\mathfrak{A}^*)^{\rm op}$ *as a coalgebra), called the quantum double of* $\mathfrak{A}$*. The universal* $\mathcal{R}$ *matrix of* $\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{A}$ *is given by the canonical element of* $\mathfrak{A} \otimes (\mathfrak{A}^*)^{\rm op} \subset \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{A}$ *associated to the identity map* $\mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$*.*

REMARK 4.2 In the case where $\mathfrak{A}$ is an infinite-dimensional Hopf algebra (which is the case we are hereafter interested in), one has to face with some difficulties [21]. Indeed, when $\mathfrak{A}$ is finite-dimensional, the multiplication μ of $\mathfrak{A}$ induces the comultiplication on $\mathfrak{A}^*$ by $\mu^* : \mathfrak{A}^* \to \mathfrak{A}^* \otimes \mathfrak{A}^* \subset (\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A})^*$. When $\mathfrak{A}$ is infinite-dimensional, it might happen that $\mu^*(\mathfrak{A}^*) \not\subset \mathfrak{A}^* \otimes \mathfrak{A}^*$, which prevents one from endowing the dual algebra $\mathfrak{A}^*$ with a canonical dual Hopf structure. One way to escape this problem is to introduce the notion of (non-degenerate) pairing of two Hopf algebras. A Hopf pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}' \to \mathbb{C}$ between two Hopf algebras $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{A}'$ is a bilinear map such that for all $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $a'_1, a'_2 \in \mathfrak{A}'$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mu^{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1 \otimes a_2), a' \rangle = \langle a_1 \otimes a_2, \Delta^{\mathfrak{A}'}(a') \rangle \qquad \langle a, \mu^{\mathfrak{A}'}(a'_1 \otimes a'_2) \rangle = \langle \Delta^{\mathfrak{A}}(a), a'_1 \otimes a'_2 \rangle \\ &\langle S^{\mathfrak{A}}(a), a' \rangle = \langle a, S^{\mathfrak{A}'}(a') \rangle \qquad \langle \epsilon^{\mathfrak{A}}(a), a' \rangle = \langle a, \iota^{\mathfrak{A}'}(a') \rangle \qquad \langle \iota^{\mathfrak{A}}(a), a' \rangle = \langle a, \epsilon^{\mathfrak{A}'}(a') \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

and $\langle a_1 \otimes a_2, a'_1 \otimes a'_2 \rangle = \langle a_1, a'_1 \rangle \langle a_2, a'_2 \rangle$.
When $\mathfrak{A}$ is finite-dimensional, eq. (4.25) is equivalent to have $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}^*$. When $\mathfrak{A}$ is infinite-dimensional, this is however a weaker statement.
In the case of a quantum double, it may be convenient to slightly modify these equations to work with the opposite comultiplication on the second Hopf algebra. □

4.2.2 Definition of the double Yangians

Although the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf algebra, it is not quasi-triangular. In order to get a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra, one has to construct the quantum double $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})$ of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$. The double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by the generators $e_{i,n}$, $f_{i,n}$ and $h_{i,n}$ where $i = 1, \dots, r$ (r is the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$) and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfying the relations (4.12). It is convenient to write the commutation relations of the double Yangian by introducing the following generating functionals:

$$e_i^{\pm}(u) = \pm \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ k < 0}} e_{i,k} u^{-k-1}\ , \qquad f_i^{\pm}(u) = \pm \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ k < 0}} f_{i,k} u^{-k-1}\ , \qquad h_i^{\pm}(u) = 1 \pm \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ k < 0}} h_{i,k} u^{-k-1} \tag{4.26}$$

and $e_i(u) = e_i^+(u) - e_i^-(u)$, $f_i(u) = f_i^+(u) - f_i^-(u)$. One gets

$$\begin{aligned} e_i(u)\, e_j(v) &= \frac{u - v + \alpha_{ij}}{u - v - \alpha_{ij}}\, e_i(v)\, e_j(u) \\ f_i(u)\, f_j(v) &= \frac{u - v - \alpha_{ij}}{u - v + \alpha_{ij}}\, f_i(v)\, f_j(u) \\ h_i^{\pm}(u)\, e_j(v) &= \frac{u - v + \alpha_{ij}}{u - v - \alpha_{ij}}\, e_j(v)\, h_i^{\pm}(u) \\ h_i^{\pm}(u)\, f_j(v) &= \frac{u - v - \alpha_{ij}}{u - v + \alpha_{ij}}\, f_j(v)\, h_i^{\pm}(u) \\ \big[e_i(u), f_j(v)\big] &= \delta_{ij} \big(\delta(u - v) h_i^+(u) - \delta(u - v) h_i^-(v)\big) \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

and for $i \neq j$ with $n_{ij} = 1 - A_{ij}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n_{ij}}} [e_i(u_{\sigma(1)}), [e_i(u_{\sigma(2)}), \dots, [e_i(u_{\sigma(n_{ij})}), e_j(v)]]] = 0 \\ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n_{ij}}} [f_i(u_{\sigma(1)}), [f_i(u_{\sigma(2)}), \dots, [f_i(u_{\sigma(n_{ij})}), f_j(v)]]] = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where $\alpha_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{sym}$ and $\delta(u-v) \equiv \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u^{-n-1} v^n$.

The double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})$ is described as follows [53, 60]. Let $Y^{\pm}$ be the algebras generated by the generating functionals $e_i^{\pm}(u)$, $f_i^{\pm}(u)$ and $h_i^{\pm}(u)$. One has $Y^+ = Y(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})$ and the dual with opposite comultiplication $Y(\mathfrak{g})^{\rm op} \simeq Y^-$ (more precisely a suitable formal completion of it). The Hopf pairing between the generators of Y^+ and those of Y^- is given by

$$\langle e_i^+(u), f_j^-(v) \rangle = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{u-v} \qquad \langle f_i^+(u), e_j^-(v) \rangle = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{u-v} \qquad \langle h_i^+(u), h_j^-(v) \rangle = \frac{u-v+\alpha_{ij}}{u-v-\alpha_{ij}} \tag{4.29}$$

4.2.3 Universal R-matrix of the double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})$

Universal R-matrix for $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)$

Being a quantum double, $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})$ is naturally endowed with a structure of quasi-triangular Hopf algebra and admits a universal R-matrix. In the case of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)$, the following decomposition of the universal R-matrix has been proved [60]

$$\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)] = \mathcal{R}_E \, \mathcal{R}_H \, \mathcal{R}_F \tag{4.30}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_E = \prod_{k \geq 0}^{\rightarrow} \exp(-e_k \otimes f_{-k-1}) \,, \qquad \mathcal{R}_F = \prod_{k \geq 0}^{\leftarrow} \exp(-f_k \otimes e_{-k-1}) \,, \tag{4.31}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_H = \prod_{k \geq 0} \exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \Big(-\frac{d}{du} \ln h_+(u) \Big)_n \otimes \Big(\ln h_-(x+2k+1) \Big)_{-n-1} \right) \tag{4.32}$$

Universal R-matrix for $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)$

In the case of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)$, the same kind of decomposition of the universal R-matrix takes place

$$\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)] = \mathcal{R}_E \, \mathcal{R}_H \, \mathcal{R}_F \tag{4.33}$$

Unfortunately, although the factor $\mathcal{R}_H$ has been rigorously derived, the factors $\mathcal{R}_E$ and $\mathcal{R}_F$ remain only conjectured [60]. The factor R_H is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_H = \prod_{k \geq 0} \exp \left(\sum_{i,j=1,\dots,r} \sum_{n \geq 0} \Big(-\frac{d}{du} \ln h_{i,+}(u) \Big)_n \otimes \Big(C_{ji}(T^{\frac{1}{2}}) \ln h_{j,-}\big(x + N(k+\tfrac{1}{2})\big) \Big)_{-n-1} \right) \tag{4.34}$$

Let $A^{sym}(q)$ be the quantum analogue of the the symmetrized Cartan matrix of sl_N, i.e. $A_{ij}^{sym}(q) = [A_{ij}^{sym}]_q$. The matrix $C(q)$ is defined by $C(q) = [N]_q \, (A^{sym}(q))^{-1}$. The operator $T = \exp(d/dx)$ is the shift operator, $Tf(x) = f(x+1)$.

In order to write the expression of the factors $\mathcal{R}_E$ and $\mathcal{R}_F$, one needs to fix some notations. Let E and F be the unital subalgebras of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)$ generated respectively by the generators $e_{i,k}$ $(k \geq 0)$ and $f_{i,k}$ $(k \geq 0)$. Let Π and $\Pi^+ \subset \Pi$ be the root and positive root systems of sl_N and $\widehat{\Pi} = \{\gamma + n\delta\,, m\delta \,|\, \gamma \in \Pi\,, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\,, m \neq 0\}$ be the root system of $\widehat{sl}_N$. One defines the following two subsets of $\widehat{\Pi}$: $\Pi_E = \{\gamma + n\delta \,|\, \gamma \in \Pi^+, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\Pi_F = \{-\gamma + n\delta \,|\, \gamma \in \Pi^+, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Π_E and Π_F are equipped with two orderings $<_E$ and $<_F$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi_1 <_E \xi_1 + \xi_2 <_E \xi_2 \;\text{ if }\; \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_1 + \xi_2 \in \Pi_E \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma + n\delta <_E \gamma + m\delta \\ &\xi_2 <_F \xi_1 + \xi_2 <_F \xi_1 \;\text{ if }\; \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_1 + \xi_2 \in \Pi_F \quad \text{and} \quad -\gamma + n\delta >_F -\gamma + m\delta \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma \in \Pi^+$ and $n < m$.
By induction, for any root $\xi = \gamma + n\delta \in \Pi_E$ (resp. $\xi = -\gamma + n\delta \in \Pi_F$), one constructs the roots generators $e_\xi \equiv e_{\gamma,n}$ (resp. $f_{\gamma,n}$) and $e_{-\xi} \equiv f_{\gamma,-n}$ (resp. $e_{\gamma,-n}$) by

$$e_\xi = [e_{\xi_1}, e_{\xi_2}] \qquad \text{and} \qquad e_{-\xi} = [e_{-\xi_2}, e_{-\xi_1}] \tag{4.35}$$

where $\xi_1 <_E \xi <_E \xi_2$ (resp. $\xi_1 <_F \xi <_F \xi_2$) and (ξ_1, ξ_2) is a minimal segment in the chosen ordering. Then the factors $\mathcal{R}_E$ and $\mathcal{R}_F$ of the universal R-matrix of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)$ have been conjectured to be [60]:

$$\mathcal{R}_E = \prod_{\gamma \in \Delta_+, n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\rightarrow} \exp(-e_{\gamma,n} \otimes f_{\gamma,-n-1}) \tag{4.36}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_F = \prod_{\gamma \in \Delta_+, n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\rightarrow} \exp(-f_{\gamma,n} \otimes e_{\gamma,-n-1}) \tag{4.37}$$

where the arrows correspond to ordered products according to $<_E$ and $<_F$ respectively.
Using the following N-dimensional evaluation representation with evaluation parameter u

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_u(e_{i,k}) &= E_{i,i+1}\, (u + \tfrac{1}{2}(i-1))^k \\ \pi_u(f_{i,k}) &= E_{i+1,i}\, (u + \tfrac{1}{2}(i-1))^k \\ \pi_u(h_{i,k}) &= (E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1})\, (u + \tfrac{1}{2}(i-1))^k \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

the represented R-matrix of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)$ takes the Yang form up to a normalization factor

$$R[\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)](u) = \rho(u) \left(\sum_{1 \leq a \leq N} E_{aa} \otimes E_{aa} + \frac{1}{u+1} \sum_{1 \leq a \neq b \leq N} (u\, E_{aa} \otimes E_{bb} + E_{ab} \otimes E_{ba}) \right) \tag{4.39}$$

with

$$\rho(u) = \frac{\Gamma_1(u \,|\, N)\, \Gamma_1(u + N \,|\, N)}{\Gamma_1(u+1 \,|\, N)\, \Gamma_1(u + N - 1 \,|\, N)} \tag{4.40}$$

4.2.4 Central extension $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})_c$ of the double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})$

The double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})$ admits a central extension $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})_c$ [53]. It is generated by the generators $e_{i,n}$, $f_{i,n}$ and $h_{i,n}$ where $i = 1, \ldots, r$ (r is the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$) and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, a central element c and a grading

operator d. In terms of the generating functionals (4.26), the relations between the generators read

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i(u)\, e_j(v) &= \frac{u-v+\alpha_{ij}}{u-v-\alpha_{ij}}\; e_i(v)\, e_j(u) \\
f_i(u)\, f_j(v) &= \frac{u-v-\alpha_{ij}}{u-v+\alpha_{ij}}\; f_i(v)\, f_j(u) \\
h_i^{\pm}(u)\, e_j(v) &= \frac{u-v+\alpha_{ij}}{u-v-\alpha_{ij}}\; e_j(v)\, h_i^{\pm}(u) \\
h_i^{+}(u)\, f_j(v) &= \frac{u-v-\alpha_{ij}-\alpha_{ij}c}{u-v+\alpha_{ij}-\alpha_{ij}c}\; f_j(v)\, h_i^{+}(u) \\
h_i^{-}(u)\, f_j(v) &= \frac{u-v-\alpha_{ij}}{u-v+\alpha_{ij}}\; f_j(v)\, h_i^{-}(u) \\
h_i^{+}(u)\, h_j^{-}(v) &= \frac{u-v+\alpha_{ij}}{u-v-\alpha_{ij}}\; \frac{u-v-\alpha_{ij}-\alpha_{ij}c}{u-v+\alpha_{ij}-\alpha_{ij}c}\; h_j^{-}(v)\, h_i^{+}(u) \\
\big[e_i(u), f_j(v)\big] &= \delta_{ij}\,\big(\delta(u-v-\alpha_{ii}c)h_i^{+}(u) - \delta(u-v)h_i^{-}(v)\big)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

together with the Serre-type relations (4.28). The action of the grading operator is given by

$$\big[d, e_i(u)\big] = \frac{d}{du}\; e_i(u) \qquad \big[d, f_i(u)\big] = \frac{d}{du}\; f_i(u) \qquad \big[d, h_i^{\pm}(u)\big] = \frac{d}{du}\; h_i^{\pm}(u) \tag{4.42}$$

As the double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})$, the central extension of the double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(\mathfrak{g})_c$ exhibits a structure of quantum double [53]. Let $\widehat{Y}^{+} = Y^{+} \otimes \mathbb{C}[c]$ and $\widehat{Y}^{-}$ be the semi-direct product of Y^{-} with the ring $\mathbb{C}[[d]]$ of formal power series in d. $\widehat{Y}^{\pm}$ are Hopf algebras with $\Delta(c) = c\otimes 1 + 1\otimes c$ and $\Delta(d) = d\otimes 1 + 1\otimes d$. The Hopf paring on $Y^{+}\otimes Y^{-}$ is uniquely extended onto $\widehat{Y}^{+}\otimes\widehat{Y}^{-}$ by $\langle c, d\rangle = 1$.

In the sl_2 case, the universal R-matrix of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)_c$ decomposes as

$$\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)_c] = \mathcal{R}_E\; \mathcal{R}_H\; \exp(c\otimes d)\; \mathcal{R}_F \tag{4.43}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_E$, $\mathcal{R}_F$ and $\mathcal{R}_H$ are given by (4.31) and (4.32).
In the two-dimensional evaluation representation with evaluation parameter u, $\pi_{ev}(u)(e_k) = E_{12}\, u^k$, $\pi_{ev}(u)(f_k) = E_{21}\, u^k$, $\pi_{ev}(u)(h_k) = (E_{11}-E_{22})\, u^k$, $\pi_{ev}(u)(c) = 0$, the evaluated R-matrix $R_{12}(u_1-u_2) = \big(\pi_{ev}(u_1)\otimes\pi_{ev}(u_2)\big)\,\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)_c]$ is identified with (4.39) with the normalization factor (4.40) (taking $N=2$). In the FRT formalism, $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)_c$ is then defined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{12}(u_1-u_2)\, L_1^{\pm}(u_1)\, L_2^{\pm}(u_2) &= L_2^{\pm}(u_2)\, L_1^{\pm}(u_2)\, R_{12}(u_1-u_2) \\
R_{12}(u_1-u_2-c)\, L_1^{-}(u_1)\, L_2^{+}(u_2) &= L_2^{+}(u_2)\, L_1^{-}(u_2)\, R_{12}(u_1-u_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

where $L^{+}(u) = \sum_{k\geq 0} L_k^{+} u^{-k}$ and $L^{-}(u) = \sum_{k\leq 0} L_k^{-} u^{-k}$ are two 2×2 independent matrices, expressed in term of the Drinfel'd generators $e^{\pm}(u)$, $f^{\pm}(u)$ and $h^{\pm}(u)$ using a Gauss decomposition of the Lax matrices:

$$L^{\pm}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f^{\pm}(u^{\mp}) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_1^{\pm}(u) & 0 \\ 0 & k_2^{\pm}(u) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\pm}(u) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.45}$$

with $u^{+} = u$ and $u^{-} = u-c$. Furthermore, $k_1^{\pm}(u)k_2^{\pm}(u-1) = 1$ and one defines $h^{\pm}(u) \equiv k_2^{\pm}(u)^{-1}k_1^{\pm}(u)$.

4.3 Deformed double Yangians

4.3.1 Definition of the deformed double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$.

Consider the R-matrix of $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$, and perform the scaling limit $q \to 1$, with $z = q^u$, $p = q^{2r}$, keeping u and r fixed. One obtains, up to a similarity transformation, the following R-matrix:

$$R[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)](u,r) = \rho(u,r) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sin \pi u/r}{\sin \pi(u+1)/r} & \frac{\sin \pi/r}{\sin \pi(u+1)/r} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sin \pi/r}{\sin \pi(u+1)/r} & \frac{\sin \pi u/r}{\sin \pi(u+1)/r} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.46}$$

the normalization factor being

$$\rho(u,r) = \frac{\Gamma_2(r+1-u \,|\, r,2)^2 \, \Gamma_2(2+u \,|\, r,2) \, \Gamma_2(u \,|\, r,2)}{\Gamma_2(u+1 \,|\, r,2)^2 \, \Gamma_2(r-u \,|\, r,2) \, \Gamma_2(r+2-u \,|\, r,2)} \tag{4.47}$$

where Γ_2 is the multiple Gamma function of order 2 (see Appendix A).
Taking now $L(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n u^{-n}$, the deformed double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$ is defined by

$$R_{12}(u_1 - u_2, r) \, L_1(u_1) \, L_2(u_2) = L_2(u_2) \, L_1(u_2) \, R_{12}(u_1 - u_2, r - c) \tag{4.48}$$

4.3.2 The deformed double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$ is a QTQHA

What is the status of this algebra? In fact, in the same way the quantum affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ appears as a Drinfel'd twist of the universal quantum affine algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$, we sketch below how the deformed double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$ can be obtained as a Drinfel'd twist of the double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)_c$, promoting $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$ as a QTQHA. For this purpose, one must prove that the matrix (4.46) is indeed an evaluation representation of a universal R-matrix obtained as a Drinfel'd twist of the universal R-matrix of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)$.
Introducing the notation

$$M(b^+, b^-) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(b^+ + b^-) & \frac{1}{2}(b^+ - b^-) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(b^+ - b^-) & \frac{1}{2}(b^+ + b^-) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.49}$$

one has obviously $M(a,b)M(a',b') = M(aa',bb')$ and $M(a,b)^{-1} = M(a^{-1},b^{-1})$. The R-matrix of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)$ can be written as $R[\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)](u) = \rho(u) M\left(1, \frac{u-1}{u+1}\right)$, and the R-matrix of $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$ takes the form $R[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)](u) = \rho_r(u) M(b_r^+, b_r^-)$, with

$$b_r^+ = \frac{\cos \frac{u-1}{2r}}{\cos \frac{u+1}{2r}} = \frac{\Gamma_1(r+u+1 \,|\, 2r)\Gamma_1(r-u-1 \,|\, 2r)}{\Gamma_1(r+u-1 \,|\, 2r)\Gamma_1(r-u+1 \,|\, 2r)}$$
$$b_r^- = \frac{\sin \frac{u-1}{2r}}{\sin \frac{u+1}{2r}} = \frac{\Gamma_1(u+1 \,|\, 2r)\Gamma_1(2r-u-1 \,|\, 2r)}{\Gamma_1(u-1 \,|\, 2r)\Gamma_1(2r-u+1 \,|\, 2r)}$$

The normalization factor of $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$ being rewritten as $\rho_r(u) = \rho_F(-u;r)\rho(u) \; \rho_F(u;r)^{-1}$ with $\rho_F(u) = \dfrac{\Gamma_2(u+1+r \,|\, 2,r)^2}{\Gamma_2(u+r \,|\, 2,r) \; \Gamma_2(u+2+r \,|\, 2,r)}$, one obtains

$$R[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)] = F_{21}(-u) R[\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)] F_{12}(u)^{-1} \tag{4.50}$$

Using the notation (4.49), $F_{12}(u)$ is given by

$$F_{12}(u) = \rho_F(u)\, M\left(\frac{\Gamma_1(u+r-1\,|\,2r)}{\Gamma_1(u+r+1\,|\,2r)}, \frac{\Gamma_1(u+2r-1\,|\,2r)}{\Gamma_1(u+2r+1\,|\,2r)}\right) \tag{4.51}$$

This twist-like matrix reads

$$\begin{aligned} F_{12}(u) &= \rho_F(u) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(1, \frac{u+1+2nr}{u-1+2nr}\right) M\left(\frac{u+1+(2n-1)r}{u-1+(2n-1)r}, 1\right) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} R(u+2nr)^{-1}\, \tau(R(u+(2n-1)r)^{-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

where $\tau(M(a,b)) = M(b,a)$, R is the R-matrix of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)_c$ and one uses the representation of $\rho_F(u)$ as an infinite product

$$\rho_F(u) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(u+nr)^{-1} \tag{4.53}$$

The automorphism τ may be interpreted as the adjoint action of $(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}h_0} \otimes 1$, where h_0 is the Cartan generator of $sl_2 \subset \mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{12}(u) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} R(u+2nr)^{-1}\, \mathrm{Ad}\left((-1)^{\frac{1}{2}h_0} \otimes 1\right) R(u+(2n-1)r)^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ad}\left((-1)^{\frac{n}{2}h_0} \otimes 1\right) R(u+nr)^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{4.54}$$

Hence F is solution of the difference equation

$$F_{12}(u) = \mathrm{Ad}\left((-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}h_0} \otimes 1\right) F_{12}(u-r) \cdot R_{12}(u) \tag{4.55}$$

Note that all the infinite products are logarithmically divergent. They are consistently regularized by the Γ_1 and Γ_2 functions. In particular, $\lim_{r\to\infty} F = M(1,1) = \mathbb{I}_4$.
One imposes then the difference equation *at the universal level*:

$$\mathcal{F}_{12} = \mathrm{Ad}(\phi \otimes 1)(\mathcal{F}_{12}) \cdot \mathcal{C} \tag{4.56}$$

where $\phi = (-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}h_0} e^{-(r+c)d}$ and $\mathcal{C} = e^{\frac{1}{2}(c\otimes d + d\otimes c)}\mathcal{R}$. A solution of (4.56) is given by an infinite product

$$\mathcal{F}_{12}(r) = \prod_{k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{F}_k(r) = \prod_{k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} \mathrm{Ad}(\phi^{-k} \otimes 1)(\mathcal{C}_{12}^{-1}) \tag{4.57}$$

It can be proved along the same lines as eqs. (3.39) to (3.42) that $\mathcal{F}_{12}(r)$ satisfies the shifted cocycle condition.
Finally, one gets the following theorem [4]:

THEOREM 4.1 *The Yangian-type Drinfel'd twist (4.57) satisfies the shifted cocycle condition*

$$\mathcal{F}_{12}(r)\, (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\mathcal{F}(r) = \mathcal{F}_{23}(r + c^{(1)})\, (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)\mathcal{F}(r)$$

The deformed double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$ is a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra with the universal R-matrix $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(r) = \mathcal{F}_{21}(r)\,\mathcal{R}_{12}\,\mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1}(r)$ where $\mathcal{R}$ is the universal R-matrix of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)$. $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(r)$ satisfies the shifted Yang–Baxter equation:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(r+c^{(3)})\,\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{13}(r)\,\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(r+c^{(1)}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(r)\,\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{13}(r+c^{(2)})\,\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(r)$$

REMARK 4.3 Note that the evaluated R-matrices (3.45) and (4.46) are homothetical once the identification $q = e^{i\pi/r}$ and $z = e^{2i\pi u/r}$ is done. However they are used to construct distinct algebras which differ fundamentaly in their structure. The R-matrix (3.45) is the evaluation of the universal R-matrix of the *Hopf* algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$, while the R-matrix (4.46) corresponds to the evaluation of the universal R-matrix of the *quasi-Hopf* algebra $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$. In particular, the normalization factors of (3.45) and (4.46), which are different, are related roughly speaking to the contribution of the Cartan part of the corresponding universal R-matrices. □

4.3.3 Other presentation of $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$.

As it was said before, the R-matrix $\overline{R}[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)]$ obtained from the scaling limit of the R-matrix of $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ differs from the R-matrix $R[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)]$ given by Eq. (4.46) by a similarity transformation. Indeed, one has

$$\overline{R}[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)] = K_{21}\; R[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)]\; K_{12}^{-1} \tag{4.58}$$

where

$$K = V \otimes V \qquad \text{with} \qquad V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.59}$$

The Lax operators L and $\overline{L}$ associated respectively to the R-matrices $R[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)]$ and $\overline{R}[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)]$ are connected by $\overline{L} = VLV^{-1}$, implying an isomorphism between the two corresponding Yangian structures.

One can identify V with an evaluation representation of an element g: $V = \pi_{ev}(g)$ with $g = \exp\left(\frac{-\pi}{4}(f_0 - e_0)\right)$. Since e_0 and f_0 lie in the undeformed Hopf subalgebra $\mathcal{U}(sl_2)$ of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)$, the coproduct of g reads $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$, so that

$$g_1 g_2\, \Delta^{\mathcal{F}}(g^{-1})\mathcal{F} = g_1 g_2\, \mathcal{F} g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1} \tag{4.60}$$

where Δ is the coproduct of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)$ while $\Delta^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the coproduct of $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$.

The two-cocycle $g_1 g_2 \Delta^{\mathcal{F}}(g^{-1})$ is a coboundary (with respect to the coproduct $\Delta^{\mathcal{F}}$). In representation, (4.60) is equal to the scaling limit of the represented twist from $\mathcal{U}_q(sl_2)$ to $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$.

It follows that [4]

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)] \equiv g_1 g_2\, \Delta_{21}^{\mathcal{F}}(g^{-1})\; \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)]\; \Delta_{12}^{\mathcal{F}}(g)\, g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1} = g_1 g_2\; \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)]\; g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1} \tag{4.61}$$

satisfies the shifted Yang–Baxter equation of Theorem 4.1.

To recover (4.58), it suffices to use (4.60) and to remark that $(\pi_{ev} \otimes \pi_{ev})(g \otimes g)$ commutes with $R[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)]$.

4.3.4 Generalization to $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_N)$

Definition of the deformed double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_N)$

We now construct the deformed double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_N)$ [6]. The R-matrix of the deformed double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_N)$ is obtained by taking the scaling limit ($q \to 1$ with $z = q^u$ and $p = q^{2r}$ and keeping u, r fixed) of the R-matrix of the quantum affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_N)$. One gets

$$\overline{R}(u,r) = \rho_{DYr}(u) \times \sum_{a,b,c=1}^{N} \frac{\sin\frac{\pi u}{r}\ \sin\frac{\pi}{r}\ \sin\frac{\pi}{Nr}(u+1+(b-a)r)}{N\sin\frac{\pi(u+1)}{r}\ \sin\frac{\pi}{Nr}(u+(b-c)r)\ \sin\frac{\pi}{Nr}(1-(a-c)r)}\ E_{ac}\otimes E_{b,a+b-c} \tag{4.62}$$

the normalization factor $\rho_{DYr}(u)$ being defined by

$$\rho_{DYr}(u) = \frac{S_2(-u\,|\,r,N)\,S_2(1+u\,|\,r,N)}{S_2(u\,|\,r,N)\,S_2(1-u\,|\,r,N)} \tag{4.63}$$

where $S_2(x\,|\,\omega_1,\omega_2)$ is Barnes' double sine function of periods ω_1 and ω_2 (see Appendix A).

It is possible to simplify this matrix by a similarity transformation. Defining $V_i^j = 1/\sqrt{N}\ \omega^{(i-1)j}$ where $\omega = \exp(2i\pi/N)$, the similar matrix $R = (V\otimes V)\overline{R}(V\otimes V)^{-1}$ has the following non-zero entries

$$\begin{aligned} S_{aa}^{aa}(u) &= \cot\frac{\pi u}{r} + \cot\frac{\pi}{r} \\ S_{ab}^{ab}(u) &= \frac{e^{i\pi/r}}{\sin\frac{\pi}{r}} e^{-2i\pi(b-a)/Nr} \qquad \text{for } b-a \in \{1,...,N-1\} \\ S_{ab}^{ba}(u) &= \frac{e^{i\pi u/r}}{\sin\frac{\pi u}{r}} e^{-2i\pi(b-a)u/Nr} \qquad \text{for } b-a \in \{1,...,N-1\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.64}$$

where the matrix elements R_{ij}^{kl} and S_{ij}^{kl} are related by

$$R_{ij}^{kl} = -\rho_{DYr}(u)\ \frac{\sin\frac{\pi u}{r}\ \sin\frac{\pi}{r}}{\sin\frac{\pi(u+1)}{r}}\ S_{ij}^{kl} \tag{4.65}$$

The deformed double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_N)$ is a QTQHA

Inspired by the expressions obtained in the sl_2 case, one postulates [3] the linear equation (4.56) in $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)_c \otimes \mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)_c$ for the twist $\mathcal{F}_{12}$, where $\phi = \omega^{-h_{0,\rho}} e^{-(r+c)d}$ and $\mathcal{C} = e^{\frac{1}{2}(c\otimes d + d\otimes c)}\mathcal{R}$. Equation (4.56) can be solved by

$$\mathcal{F}_{12}(r) = \prod_{k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{F}_k(r) = \prod_{k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} \mathrm{Ad}(\phi^{-k}\otimes 1)(\mathcal{C}_{12}^{-1}) \tag{4.66}$$

The operator d in the double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)_c$ satisfies $\big[d, e_\alpha(u)\big] = \dfrac{d}{du}\ e_\alpha(u)$ for any root α and its coproduct is given by $\Delta(d) = d\otimes 1 + 1\otimes d$ (see [53]).

The generator $h_{0,\rho}$ of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)_c$ is such that

$$h_{0,\rho}e_\alpha(u) = e_\alpha(u)(h_{0,\rho} + (\rho,\alpha))\ , \qquad h_{0,\rho}f_\alpha(u) = f_\alpha(u)(h_{0,\rho} - (\rho,\alpha))\ , \qquad \big[h_{0,\rho}, h_\alpha(u)\big] = 0 \tag{4.67}$$

and hence $\tau = \mathrm{Ad}\left(\omega^{-h_{0,\rho}} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)$ is idempotent, since all the scalar products (ρ, α) are rational.
As in the sl_2 case, equations (3.39) to (3.42) still hold and thus the infinite product (4.66) satisfies the shifted cocycle relation. The twist $\mathcal{F}(r)$ defines a QTQHA denoted $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_N)$ with universal R-matrix $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_N)](r) = \mathcal{F}_{21}(r)\,\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)_c]\,\mathcal{F}_{12}(r)^{-1}$.

It remains to show that the corresponding evaluated R-matrix indeed coincides with the expression (4.65). In the evaluation representation (4.38), the linear equation (4.56) takes the form

$$F(u) = (\phi \otimes 1)^{-1} F(u-r)(\phi \otimes 1) R(u) \tag{4.68}$$

where $\phi = \mathrm{diag}(\omega^{N-1}, \omega^{N-2}, \dots, \omega, 1)$.
The solution of (4.68) is expressed in term of hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1$:

$$F^{ab}_{ab}(u) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{u-1}{r}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{u}{r}+1\right)}\, {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -\frac{1}{r} & \frac{u-1}{r}+1 \\ & \frac{u}{r}+1 \end{matrix}; \omega^{b-a}\right) \tag{4.69}$$

$$F^{ba}_{ab}(u) = -\frac{\omega^{b-a}}{r}\,\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{u-1}{r}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{u}{r}+2\right)}\, {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -\frac{1}{r}+1 & \frac{u-1}{r}+1 \\ & \frac{u}{r}+2 \end{matrix}; \omega^{b-a}\right) \tag{4.70}$$

and $F^{aa}_{aa}(u) = 1$ (the indices $a \neq b$ run from 1 to N).
The twist $F(u)$ applied to the R-matrix of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)$, eq. (4.39), provides the R-matrix $\widetilde{R}(u)$ of the deformed double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_N)$, eq. (4.65), the non-vanishing entries of which are expressed in terms of (4.64). The proof follows by a direct computation using the properties of the hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1$.

This kind of construction can be generalized for any simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

4.4 Dynamical double Yangians

4.4.1 The dynamical double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_s(sl_2)$

Using the same kind of argument, it is possible to construct another deformation of the Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)$, which can be considered as a dynamical deformation, denoted by $\mathcal{D}Y_s(sl_2)$. The corresponding R-matrix reads:

$$R[\mathcal{D}Y_s(sl_2)](u,s) = \rho(u) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{u}{u+1} & \frac{s+u}{s(u+1)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{s-u}{s(u+1)} & \frac{s^2-1}{s^2}\,\frac{u}{u+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.71}$$

$\rho(u)$ being the normalization factor of the R-matrix of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)$ and s is a complex number. Actually this matrix can be obtained as a scaling limit of the quantum affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ with $p = 0$.
The algebra $\mathcal{D}Y_s(sl_2)$ is then defined by the RLL-type relations

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(u_1-u_2, \lambda+h)\, L_1(u_1,\lambda)\, L_2(u_2, \lambda+h^{(1)}) = \\ L_2(u_2,\lambda)\, L_1(u_1, \lambda+h^{(2)})\, R_{12}(u_1-u_2,\lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{4.72}$$

Let us prove that $\mathcal{D}Y_s(sl_2)$ is a QTQHA obtained from $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)$ by a Drinfel'd twist. To this aim, one uses the fact that $\mathcal{U}(sl_2)$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)$.
One first constructs a twist from $\mathcal{U}_q(sl_2)$ to $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(sl_2)$ [7, 13, 48] as follows. Introduce $\phi = q^{\chi} = q^{\frac{1}{2}h^2+sh}$ where $s \in \mathbb{C}$, and consider

$$\mathcal{F} = \prod_{k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} \mathrm{Ad}(\phi^k \otimes 1)\,(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}) \tag{4.73}$$

where $\mathcal{R} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}h\otimes h}\,\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ is the universal R-matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(sl_2)$. The twisted R-matrix $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{12} = \mathcal{F}_{21}\,\mathcal{R}_{12}\,\mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1}$ defines the algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(sl_2)$. The twist $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the following difference equation

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{Ad}(\phi^{-1} \otimes 1)(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \tag{4.74}$$

the solution of which is precisely the infinite product (4.73).
Consider now the twist $\mathcal{F}'$ obtained from (4.73) by taking the limit $q \to 1$ and keeping s fixed. The consistency of this procedure follows from the Hopf algebra identification $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(sl_2)/(\hbar\,\mathcal{U}_\hbar(sl_2)) \simeq \mathcal{U}(sl_2)$ with $q = e^\hbar$. In this quotient, the twist $\mathcal{F}'$ is given by the formula

$$\mathcal{F}' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} [(1+k-s)1 \otimes h] \otimes 1 \right)^{-1} e^n \otimes f^n \tag{4.75}$$

In fact, the scaling limit of the difference equation (4.74) of the twist $\mathcal{F}$ leads to the following equation for the twist $\mathcal{F}'$, obtained as the first non-trivial order in $\hbar$ in (4.74):

$$[\chi \otimes 1, \mathcal{F}'] = \mathcal{F}'\widehat{r} \tag{4.76}$$

where $\widehat{r}$ is given by $\widehat{\mathcal{R}} = 1 \otimes 1 + \hbar\widehat{r} + o(\hbar)$. This equation has a unique solution expressed either by eq. (4.75) or by the infinite product (it can be checked directly, order by order, that formulae (4.75) and (4.77) lead to the same expression in terms of the generators)

$$\mathcal{F}' = \prod_{k\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} \mathrm{Ad}(\chi^{-k} \otimes 1)\Big(1 \otimes 1 + (\chi \otimes 1)^{-1}\widehat{r}\Big) \tag{4.77}$$

Finally, the following theorem holds [3, 5]:

THEOREM 4.2 *The dynamical Yangian-type Drinfel'd twist (4.77) satisfies the shifted cocycle condition*

$$\mathcal{F}'_{12}(s)\,(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\mathcal{F}'(s) = \mathcal{F}'_{23}(s + h^{\vee(1)})\,(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)\mathcal{F}'(s)$$

The dynamical double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_s(sl_2)$ is a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra with the universal R-matrix $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(s) = \mathcal{F}'_{21}(s)\,\mathcal{R}\,\mathcal{F}'_{12}(s)$ where $\mathcal{R}$ is the universal R-matrix of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)$. $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(s)$ satisfies the dynamical Yang–Baxter equation:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(s + h^{\vee(3)})\,\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{13}(s)\,\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(s + h^{\vee(1)}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(s)\,\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{13}(s + h^{\vee(2)})\,\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(s)$$

In that case, although the computation is rather tedious, the proof of the shifted cocycle condition can be done directly by using the sum formula (4.75).

4.4.2 Generalization to $\mathcal{D}Y_s(sl_N)$

The previous construction can be generalized to the case of sl_N without difficulty [3]. It follows the same lines:
– construction of the twist $\mathcal{F}$ from $\mathcal{U}_q(sl_N)$ to $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(sl_N)$,
– one takes the scaling limit of this twist consistently from the Hopf algebra identification

$$\mathcal{U}_\hbar(sl_N)/(\hbar\,\mathcal{U}_\hbar(sl_N)) \simeq \mathcal{U}(sl_N) \tag{4.78}$$

with $q = e^\hbar$,
– the scaled twist $\mathcal{F}'$ can also be obtained in its universal form as an infinite product given by (4.77), the matrix $\widehat{r}$ being the classical limit of the matrix $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ where $\mathcal{R} = q^{-d_{ij}h_i\otimes h_j}\ \widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ is the universal R-matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(sl_N)$ and d_{ij} is the inverse of the Cartan matrix of sl_N.

In the fundamental representation for sl_N, the evaluated infinite product expression for $\mathcal{F}'$ reads

$$F' = 1\otimes 1 - \sum_{a<b} \frac{2}{x_a - x_b}\ E_{ab}\otimes E_{ba} \tag{4.79}$$

Applied to the R-matrix of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)$ given in (4.39), this twist leads to the evaluated R-matrix of $\mathcal{D}Y_s(sl_N)$:

$$\begin{aligned} R[\mathcal{D}Y_s(sl_N)](u,s) = \rho[\mathcal{D}Y_s(sl_N)](u)\Bigg(& \sum_a E_{aa}\otimes E_{aa} + \sum_{a<b} \frac{u}{u+1}\ E_{aa}\otimes E_{bb} \\ & + \sum_{a>b}\left(1 - \frac{4}{(x_a-x_b)^2}\right)\ \frac{u}{u+1}\ E_{aa}\otimes E_{bb} \\ & + \sum_{a,b}\left(1 + \frac{2u}{x_a - x_b}\right)\frac{1}{u+1}\ E_{ab}\otimes E_{ba}\Bigg) \end{aligned} \tag{4.80}$$

the normalization factor being $\rho[\mathcal{D}Y_s(sl_N)](u) = \rho[\mathcal{D}Y(sl_N)](u)$, see eq. (4.40).

4.4.3 The deformed dynamical double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_{r,s}(sl_2)$

Considering now the scaling limit of the quantum affine elliptic algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\lambda}(\widehat{sl}_2)$, it is possible to construct a deformed dynamical double Yangian $\mathcal{D}Y_{r,s}(sl_2)$ with the following R-matrix [5]

$$R[\mathcal{D}Y_{r,s}(sl_2)](u,r,s) = \rho(u,r)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b(u) & c(u) & 0 \\ 0 & c'(u) & b'(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.81}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b(u) &= \frac{\Gamma_1(r-s\,|\,r)^2}{\Gamma_1(r-s+1\,|\,r)\,\Gamma_1(r-s-1\,|\,r)}\;\frac{\sin\frac{\pi u}{r}}{\sin\frac{\pi(1+u)}{r}} \\ c(u) &= \frac{\sin\frac{\pi(s+u)}{r}}{\sin\frac{\pi s}{r}}\;\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{r}}{\sin\frac{\pi(1+u)}{r}} \\ b'(u) &= \frac{\Gamma_1(s\,|\,r)^2}{\Gamma_1(s+1\,|\,r)\,\Gamma_1(s-1\,|\,r)}\;\frac{\sin\frac{\pi u}{r}}{\sin\frac{\pi(1+u)}{r}} \\ c'(u) &= \frac{\sin\frac{\pi(s-u)}{r}}{\sin\frac{\pi s}{r}}\;\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{r}}{\sin\frac{\pi(1+u)}{r}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.82}$$

and the normalization factor $\rho(u,r)$ is given by eq. (4.47).
The algebra $\mathcal{D}Y_{r,s}(sl_2)$ is then defined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(u_1-u_2,\lambda+h)\,L_1(u_1,\lambda)\,L_2(u_2,\lambda+h^{(1)}) = \\ L_2(u_2,\lambda)\,L_1(u_1,\lambda+h^{(2)})\,R_{12}(u_1-u_2,\lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{4.83}$$

In that case, although the algebra can be defined through RLL relations, the status of this algebra as a QTQHA, obtained by a Drinfel'd twist of $\mathcal{D}Y(sl_2)_c$, remains still open. However the interest of this algebra is enforced by the following remark.

REMARK 4.4 Considering the R-matrix of the $\mathcal{D}Y_{r,s}(sl_2)$ algebra, eq. (4.81), and taking the limit $s \to i\infty$, one gets a non-dynamical R-matrix given by

$$R = \rho(u,r)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sin\pi u/r}{\sin\pi(u+1)/r} & e^{-i\pi u}\,\frac{\sin\pi/r}{\sin\pi(u+1)/r} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi u}\,\frac{\sin\pi/r}{\sin\pi(u+1)/r} & \frac{\sin\pi u/r}{\sin\pi(u+1)/r} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.84}$$

where $\rho(u,r)$ is the same as above.
In fact, this matrix can be obtained from the R-matrix (4.46) by a similarity transformation. This similarity transformation can be constructed as a Drinfel'd twist at the universal level. Considering $g' = \exp(h_1/2r)$, one defines the following shifted *coboundary*

$$\mathcal{K}_{12}(r) = g'(r)\otimes g'(r+c^{(1)})\;\Delta^{\mathcal{F}}(g'^{-1}) \tag{4.85}$$

where $\Delta^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the coproduct of $\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)$. It obeys a shifted cocycle condition

$$\mathcal{K}_{12}(r)\;(\Delta^{\mathcal{F}}\otimes\mathrm{id})\mathcal{K}(r) = \mathcal{K}_{23}(r+c^{(1)})\;(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta^{\mathcal{F}'})\mathcal{K}(r) \tag{4.86}$$

as a consequence of

$$(\Delta^{\mathcal{F}}\otimes\mathrm{id})\;\Delta^{\mathcal{F}}(g'^{-1}) = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta^{\mathcal{F}'})\;\Delta^{\mathcal{F}}(g'^{-1}) \tag{4.87}$$

with $\mathcal{F}'_{23}(r) = \mathcal{F}_{23}(r+c^{(1)})$. This last equation is the quasi-coassociativity property for the coproduct $\Delta^{\mathcal{F}}$.
Finally, the matrix $\mathcal{K}_{21}(r)\,\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{D}Y_r(sl_2)]\,\mathcal{K}_{12}^{-1}(r)$ satisfies the shifted Yang–Baxter equation, and the corresponding evaluated R-matrix coincides with (4.84). □

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank the organizers of the School for their kind invitation and support. This work greatly benefitted from a careful reading of the manuscript and helpful comments of D. Arnaudon and E. Ragoucy. The author warmfully thanks them.

Appendix

A Notations

Jacobi theta functions

Let $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, \mathrm{Im} z > 0\}$ be the upper half-plane and $\Lambda_\tau = \{\lambda_1 \tau + \lambda_2 \,|\, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \tau \in \mathbb{H}\}$ the lattice with basis $(1, \tau)$ in the complex plane. One denotes the congruence ring modulo N by $\mathbb{Z}_N \equiv \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ with basis $\{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$. One sets $\omega = e^{2i\pi/N}$. Finally, for any pairs $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ of numbers, we define the (skew-symmetric) pairing $\langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle \equiv \gamma_1 \lambda_2 - \gamma_2 \lambda_1$.

One defines the Jacobi theta functions with rational characteristics $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z} \times \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} (\xi, \tau) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\big(i\pi (m + \gamma_1)^2 \tau + 2i\pi (m + \gamma_1)(\xi + \gamma_2) \big) \tag{A.1}$$

The functions $\vartheta \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right] (\xi, \tau)$ satisfy the following shift properties

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 + \lambda_1 \\ \gamma_2 + \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} (\xi, \tau) = \exp(2i\pi \gamma_1 \lambda_2)\ \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} (\xi, \tau) \tag{A.2}$$

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} (\xi + \lambda_1 \tau + \lambda_2, \tau) = \exp(-i\pi \lambda_1^2 \tau - 2i\pi \lambda_1 \xi)\ \exp(2i\pi \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle)\, \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} (\xi, \tau) \tag{A.3}$$

where $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z} \times \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.
Moreover, for arbitrary $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ (not necessarily integers), one has the following shift exchange

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} (\xi + \lambda_1 \tau + \lambda_2, \tau) = \exp\big(- i\pi \lambda_1^2 \tau - 2i\pi \lambda_1 (\xi + \gamma_2 + \lambda_2) \big)\, \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 + \lambda_1 \\ \gamma_2 + \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} (\xi, \tau) \tag{A.4}$$

Consider the usual Jacobi theta function

$$\Theta_p(z) = (z; p)_\infty\, (pz^{-1}; p)_\infty\, (p; p)_\infty \tag{A.5}$$

where the infinite multiple products are defined by

$$(z; p_1, \ldots, p_m)_\infty = \prod_{n_i \geq 0} (1 - z p_1^{n_1} \ldots p_m^{n_m}) \tag{A.6}$$

It satisfies $\Theta_p(pz) = \Theta_p(z^{-1}) = -z^{-1} \Theta_p(z)$.
The Jacobi theta functions with rational characteristics $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z} \times \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ can be expressed in terms of the Θ_p function as

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} (\xi, \tau) = (-1)^{2\gamma_1 \gamma_2}\, p^{\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1^2}\, z^{2\gamma_1}\, \Theta_p(-e^{2i\pi\gamma_2} p^{\gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2}} z^2) \tag{A.7}$$

where $p = e^{2i\pi\tau}$ and $z = e^{i\pi\xi}$.

Multiple Gamma and Sine functions

Γ_r is the multiple Gamma function of order r given by

$$\Gamma_r(x\,|\,\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_r) = \exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\,\zeta_r(x,s\,|\,\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_r)\Big|_{s=0}\right) \tag{A.8}$$

where

$$\zeta_r(x,s\,|\,\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_r) = \sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_r\geq 0} (x+n_1\omega_1+\cdots+n_r\omega_r)^{-s} \tag{A.9}$$

is the multiple zeta function. In particular $\Gamma_1(x\,|\,\omega_1) = \frac{\omega_1^{x/\omega_1}}{\sqrt{2\pi\omega_1}}\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{\omega_1}\right)$. It has the following property

$$\frac{\Gamma_r(x+\omega_i\,|\,\omega_1,\cdots\omega_r)}{\Gamma_r(x\,|\,\omega_1,\cdots\omega_r)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{r-1}(x\,|\,\omega_1,\cdots\omega_{i-1},\omega_{i+1}\cdots,\omega_r)} \tag{A.10}$$

Multiple sine functions of order r are defined by [15, 50]

$$S_r(x\,|\,\omega_1,\cdots\omega_r) = \Gamma_r(x\,|\,\omega_1,\cdots\omega_r)^{-1}\Gamma_r(\omega_1+\cdots+\omega_r-x\,|\,\omega_1,\cdots\omega_r)^{(-1)^r} \tag{A.11}$$

They satisfy

$$\frac{S_r(x+\omega_i\,|\,\omega_1,\cdots\omega_r)}{S_r(x\,|\,\omega_1,\cdots\omega_r)} = \frac{1}{S_{r-1}(x\,|\,\omega_1,\cdots\omega_{i-1},\omega_{i+1}\cdots,\omega_r)} \tag{A.12}$$

In particular $S_1(x\,|\,\omega_1) = 2\sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{\omega_1}\right)$ and Barnes' double sine function of periods ω_1 and ω_2 is given by

$$S_2(x\,|\,\omega_1,\omega_2) = \frac{\Gamma_2(\omega_1+\omega_2-x\,|\,\omega_1,\omega_2)}{\Gamma_2(x\,|\,\omega_1,\omega_2)} \tag{A.13}$$

One has the following properties

$$\frac{S_2(x+\omega_1\,|\,\omega_1,\omega_2)}{S_2(x\,|\,\omega_1,\omega_2)} = \frac{1}{2\sin\dfrac{\pi x}{\omega_2}} \tag{A.14}$$

$$S_2(x\,|\,\omega_1,\omega_2)S_2(-x\,|\,\omega_1,\omega_2) = -4\sin\frac{\pi x}{\omega_1}\sin\frac{\pi x}{\omega_2} \tag{A.15}$$

B Deformation of an algebra

DEFINITION B.1 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Lie algebra over the field $\mathbb{C}$ with bracket $[\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,]$. A p-cochain is a p-linear skew-symmetric map $C_p : \wedge^p\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$. The Chevalley coboundary operator ∂ maps a p-cochain to $(p+1)$-cochains as*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial C_p(u_0,\ldots,u_p) = &\sum_{i=0}^{p}(-1)^i\,\big[u_i, C_p(u_0,\ldots,\widehat{u_i},\ldots,u_p)\big]\\ &+\sum_{0\leq i<j\leq p}(-1)^{i+j}\,C_p\big([u_i,u_j],u_0,\ldots,\widehat{u_i},\ldots,\widehat{u_j},\ldots,u_p\big)\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

where $\widehat{u_i}$ means that u_i is omitted.

It can be checked that ∂ satisfies $\partial^2 C_p = 0$. A p-cochain C_p is called a p-cocycle if $\partial C_p = 0$, i.e. is an element of Ker∂. The space of p-cocycles is denoted $\mathcal{Z}^p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$. A p-cochain C_p is called a p-coboundary if $C_p = \partial C_{p-1}$, i.e. is an element of Im∂. The space of p-coboundaries is denoted $\mathcal{B}^p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$. Since $\partial^2 = 0$, one has $\mathcal{B}^p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{Z}^p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$.

DEFINITION B.2 *Let $\mathcal{B}^p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{Z}^p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$ be the spaces of p-coboundaries and p-cocycles with respect to the Chevalley coboundary operator ∂. The quotient $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{Z}^p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{B}^p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$ is called the p-th Chevalley cohomology space.*

The space $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$ describes the non-trivial p-cocycles, i.e. cocycles which are not coboundaries. One has $\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}) \equiv \oplus_p \mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}) = \text{Ker}\partial/\text{Im}\partial$.

The theory of Chevalley cohomology is intimately related to the deformation of a Lie algebra. This last notion has been precisely defined by Gerstenhaber [38, 39, 73].

DEFINITION B.3 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be Lie algebra over the field $\mathbb{C}$ and denote by $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ the ring of formal series in the parameter $\hbar$. A deformation of $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra $\mathcal{A}_\hbar$ over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ such that $\mathcal{A}_\hbar/\hbar\mathcal{A}_\hbar \approx \mathcal{A}$. Two deformations $\mathcal{A}_\hbar$ and $\mathcal{A}'_\hbar$ are equivalent if they are isomorphic over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. A deformation $\mathcal{A}_\hbar$ is trivial if it is isomorphic to the original algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (considered as a $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]]$-algebra).*

Let $\{u_i\}$ be the set of generators of a Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with commutation relations

$$[u_i, u_j] = f_{ij}^k \, u_k \tag{B.2}$$

Consider the deformation $\mathcal{A}_\hbar$ of the enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{A}$: there exists a new bracket $[\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,]_\hbar$ such that

$$[u_i, u_j]_\hbar = f_{ij}^k \, u_k + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \hbar^p \varphi_p(u_i, u_j) \tag{B.3}$$

where the φ_p are antisymmetric bilinear maps taking values in $\mathcal{A}$, i.e. Chevalley 2-cochains. Imposing to the new bracket $[\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,]_\hbar$ to satisfy the Jacobi identity, one gets the following constraints (∂ denoting the Chevalley coboundary operator):

$$\partial\varphi_1 = 0 \tag{B.4}$$

$$\partial\varphi_p = \sum_{r+s=p} \Big(\varphi_r\big(\varphi_s(u_i,u_j),u_k\big) + \varphi_r\big(\varphi_s(u_j,u_k),u_i\big) + \varphi_r\big(\varphi_s(u_k,u_i),u_j\big) \Big) \equiv \psi_p(u_i,u_j,u_k)$$

$$(p>1) \tag{B.5}$$

Therefore φ_1 is a 2-cocycle, while φ_p is determined by the φ_r with $r < p$, up to 2-cocycles. Now, if equation (B.5) is satisfied up to order p (i.e. the deformation is consistent up to order p), one can show by a direct calculation that the r.h.s. of (B.5) at order $p+1$ is a 3-cocycle: $\partial\psi_{p+1} = 0$. If one wants equation (B.5) to be satisfied at order $p+1$ (i.e. the deformation extends to order $p+1$), this 3-cocycle must be indeed a 3-coboundary: $\psi_{p+1} = \partial\varphi_{p+1}$. It follows:

PROPOSITION B.1 *The third Chevalley cohomology space $\mathcal{H}^3(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$ classifies the obstructions to deformations of a Lie algebra. In particular, if $\mathcal{H}^3(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$ is the null space, any 2-cocycle of $\mathcal{Z}^2(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$ leads to a deformation of $\mathcal{A}$.*

The question is now to classify all *non-equivalent* deformations. Let $\mathcal{A}_\hbar$ and $\mathcal{A}'_\hbar$ be two deformations of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{A}_\hbar$ and $\mathcal{A}'_\hbar$ are equivalent if there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{I} = 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \hbar^p \mathcal{I}_p$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{I}([u_i, u_j]_\hbar) = [\mathcal{I}(u_i), \mathcal{I}(u_j)]'_\hbar$.
A deformation at order 1 is trivial if the 2-cocycle φ_1 is in fact a 2-coboundary. Using similar arguments as above, if two deformations are equivalent up to order p, they differ only by 2-cocycles which are 2-coboundaries. If one wants to extend the equivalence at order $p+1$, this imposes that the 2-cocycle at order $p+1$ is also a 2-coboundary. It follows:

PROPOSITION B.2 *The obstructions to equivalence between deformations of a Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}$ lie in the Chevalley cohomology space $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$. In particular, if $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$ is the null space, all deformations are trivial.*

In the case of Hopf algebras, one has to deal also with the deformation of the coproduct. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a Hopf algebra with coproduct Δ, a deformation $\mathcal{A}_\hbar$ is endowed with a deformed coproduct $\Delta_\hbar = \Delta + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \hbar^p \Delta_p$ where Δ_p are homomorphisms from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. As before, one has to introduce suitable cohomologies (related to the algebra and the coalgebra structures). See [21, 73] and references therein for more details.

References

[1] G. Andrews, R.J. Baxter, and P.J. Forrester, *Eight-vertex SOS model and generalized Rogers–Ramanujan type identities*, J. Stat. Phys. **35** (1984), 193–266.

[2] D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, N. Crampé, L. Frappat, and E. Ragoucy, *R-matrix presentation for (super)-Yangians*, e-print arXiv.org `math.QA/0111325`.

[3] D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, L. Frappat, and E. Ragoucy, *Yangian and quantum universal solutions of Gervais–Neveu–Felder equations*, to appear in Commun. Math. Phys., e-print arXiv.org `math.QA/0104181`.

[4] D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, L. Frappat, E. Ragoucy, and M. Rossi, *On the quasi-Hopf structure of deformed double Yangians*, Lett. Math. Phys. **51** (2000), 193–204, e-print arXiv.org `math.QA/0001034`.

[5] D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, L. Frappat, E. Ragoucy, and M. Rossi, *Towards a cladistics of double Yangians and elliptic algebras*, J. Phys. A (Math. Gen.) **33** (2000), 6279–6309, e-print arXiv.org `math.QA/9906189`.

[6] D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, L. Frappat, and M. Rossi, *Deformed double Yangian structures*, Rev. Math. Phys. **12** (2000), 945–963, e-print arXiv.org `math.QA/9905100`.

[7] D. Arnaudon, E. Buffenoir, E. Ragoucy, and Ph. Roche, *Universal solutions of quantum dynamical Yang–Baxter equations*, Lett. Math. Phys. **44** (1998), 201–214, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9712037`.

[8] J. Avan, O. Babelon, and E. Billey, *The Gervais–Neveu–Felder equation and quantum Calogero–Moser systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. **178** (1996), 281–299, e-print arXiv.org `hep-th/9505091`.

[9] J. Avan, L. Frappat, M. Rossi, and P. Sorba, *Deformed W_N algebras from elliptic sl_N algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **199** (1999), 697–728, e-print arXiv.org `math.QA/9801105`.

[10] J. Avan, L. Frappat, M. Rossi, and P. Sorba, *Universal construction of q-deformed W-algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **202** (1999), 445–461, e-print arXiv.org `math.QA/9807048`.

[11] H. Awata, H. Kubo, S. Odake, and J. Shiraishi, *A quantum deformation of the Virasoro algebra and the Macdonald symmetric functions*, Lett. Math. Phys. **38** (1996), 33–51, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9507034`.

[12] H. Awata, H. Kubo, S. Odake, and J. Shiraishi, *Quantum W_N algebras and Macdonald polynomials*, Commun. Math. Phys. **179** (1996), 401–415, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9508011`.

[13] O. Babelon, *Universal exchange algebra for Bloch waves and Liouville theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **139** (1991), 619–643.

[14] O. Babelon, D. Bernard, and E. Billey, *A quasi-Hopf algebra interpretation of quantum $3-j$ and $6-j$ symbols and difference equations*, Phys. Lett. B **375** (1996), 89–97, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9511019`.

[15] E.W. Barnes, *The theory of the double gamma function*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. A **196** (1901), 265–388.

[16] R.J. Baxter, *Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model*, Ann. Phys. **70** (1972), 193–228.

[17] R.J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*, Academic Press, London, 1982.

[18] A.A. Belavin, *Dynamical symmetry of integrable quantum systems*, Nucl. Phys. B **180** (1981), 189–200.

[19] D. Bernard and A. LeClair, *The quantum double in integrable quantum field theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **399** (1993), 709–748.

[20] E. Buffenoir and Ph. Roche, *Harmonic analysis on the quantum Lorentz group*, Commun. Math. Phys. **207** (1999), 499–555, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9710022`.

[21] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.

[22] D.V. Chudnovsky and G.V. Chudnovsky, *Completely X-symmetric S-matrices corresponding to theta functions*, Phys. Lett. A **81** (1981), 105.

[23] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and M.Okado, *Fusion of the eight-vertex SOS model*, Lett. Math. Phys. **12** (1986), 209–215.

[24] J. Ding and I.B. Frenkel, *Isomorphism of two realizations of quantum affine algebra* $U_q(\widehat{gl(n)})$, Commun. Math. Phys. **156** (1993), 277–300.

[25] V.G. Drinfeld, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang–Baxter equation*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **32** (1985), 254–258.

[26] V.G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proc. ICM-1986, Berkeley, California (Academic Press, ed.), vol. 1, 1986, pp. 798–820.

[27] V.G. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **36** (1988), 212–216.

[28] V.G. Drinfeld, *Quasi-Hopf algebras*, Leningrad Math. Journ. **1** (1990), 1419–1457.

[29] B. Enriquez and G. Felder, *Elliptic quantum groups* $E_{\tau,\eta}(sl_2)$ *and quasi-Hopf algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **195** (1998), 651–689, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9703018`.

[30] L.D. Faddeev, N.Yu. Reshetikhin, and L.A. Takhtajan, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Leningrad Math. Journ. **1** (1990), 193–225.

[31] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Quantum W-algebras and elliptic algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **178** (1996), 653–678, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9508009`.

[32] G. Felder, *Elliptic quantum groups*, Proc. ICMP Paris, 1994, e-print arXiv.org `hep-th/9412207`.

[33] O. Foda, K. Iohara, M. Jimbo, R. Kedem, T. Miwa, and H. Yan, *An elliptic quantum algebra for* $\widehat{sl}_2$, Lett. Math. Phys. **32** (1994), 259–268, e-print arXiv.org `hep-th/9403094`.

[34] O. Foda, K. Iohara, M. Jimbo, R. Kedem, T. Miwa, and H. Yan, *Notes on highest weight modules of the elliptic algebra* $A_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **118** (1995), 1–34, e-print arXiv.org `hep-th/9405058`.

[35] E. Frenkel and N.Yu. Reshetikhin, *Quantum affine algebras and deformations of the Virasoro and W-algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **178** (1996), 237–264, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9505025`.

[36] C. Frønsdal, *Generalization and exact deformations of quantum groups*, Publication RIMS Kyoto University **33** (1997), 91–149, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9606020`.

[37] C. Frønsdal, *Quasi-Hopf deformations of quantum groups*, Lett. Math. Phys. **40** (1997), 117–134, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9611028`.

[38] M. Gerstenhaber, *On the deformation of rings and algebras*, Ann. Math. **79** (1964), 59–103.

[39] M. Gerstenhaber and S.D. Schack, *Algebras, bialgebras, quantum groups and algebraic deformations*, Contemporary Mathematics **134** (1992), 51–92, In "Deformation theory and quantum groups with applications to mathematical physics".

[40] J.L. Gervais and A. Neveu, *Novel triangle relation and absence of tachyons in Liouville string field theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **238** (1984), 125–141.

[41] M. Idzumi, K. Iohara, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, T. Nakashima, and T. Tokihiro, *Quantum affine symmetry in vertex models*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A8** (1993), 1479–1511, e-print arXiv.org `hep-th/9208066`.

[42] K. Iohara, *Bosonic representations of Yangian double $DY_\hbar(g)$ with $g = gl_N, sl_N$*, J. Phys. A (Math. Gen.) **29** (1996), 4593–4621, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9603033`.

[43] M. Jimbo, *A q-difference analogue of $U(g)$ and the Yang–Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985), 63–69.

[44] M. Jimbo, *Quantum R-matrix for the generalized Toda system*, Commun. Math. Phys. **102** (1986), 537–547.

[45] M. Jimbo, R. Kedem, H. Konno, T. Miwa, and R. Weston, *Difference equations in spin chains with a boundary*, Nucl. Phys. B **448** (1995), 429–456, e-print arXiv.org `hep-th/9502060`.

[46] M. Jimbo, H. Konno, and T. Miwa, *Massless XXZ model and degeneration of the elliptic algebra $A_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$*, Math. Phys. Studies **20** (1997), 117–138, e-print arXiv.org `hep-th/9610079`.

[47] M. Jimbo, H. Konno, S. Odake, and J. Shiraishi, *Elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$: Drinfel'd currents and vertex operators*, Commun. Math. Phys. **199** (1999), 605–647, e-print arXiv.org `math.QA/9802002`.

[48] M. Jimbo, H. Konno, S. Odake, and J. Shiraishi, *Quasi-Hopf twistors for elliptic quantum groups*, Transformation Groups **4** (1999), 303–327, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9712029`.

[49] M. Jimbo, A. Kuniba, T. Miwa, and M. Okado, *The $A(N)^{(1)}$ face models*, Commun. Math. Phys. **119** (1988), 543–565.

[50] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, *Quantum KZ equation with $|q| = 1$ and correlation functions of the XXZ model in the gapless regime*, J. Phys. A (Math. Gen). **29** (1996), 2923–2958, e-print arXiv.org `hep-th/9601135`.

[51] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and M. Okado, *Solvable lattice models related to the vector representation of classical simple Lie algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **116** (1988), 507–525.

[52] B. Jurčo and P. Schupp, *AKS scheme for face and Calogero–Moser–Sutherland type models*, e-print arXiv.org `solv-int/9710006`.

[53] S.M. Khoroshkin, *Central extension of the Yangian double*, Collection SMF, 7ème rencontre du contact franco-belge en algèbre, Reims, 1995, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9602031`.

[54] S.M. Khoroshkin, D. Lebedev, and S. Pakuliak, *Elliptic algebra $A_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ in the scaling limit*, Commun. Math. Phys. **190** (1998), 597–627, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9702002`.

[55] S.M. Khoroshkin, A. LeClair, and S. Pakuliak, *Angular quantization of the Sine–Gordon model at the free fermion point*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3** (1999), 1227–1287, e-print arXiv.org `hep-th/9904082`.

[56] S.M. Khoroshkin and V.N. Tolstoy, *Universal R-matrix for quantized (super)algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **141** (1991), 599–617.

[57] S.M. Khoroshkin and V.N. Tolstoy, *The uniqueness theorem for the universal R-matrix*, Lett. Math. Phys. **24** (1992), 231–244.

[58] S.M. Khoroshkin and V.N. Tolstoy, *The Cartan-Weyl basis and the universal R-matrix for quantum Kac–Moody algebras and superalgebras*, Quantum symmetries, 1993, H. Doebner and V. Dobrev eds.

[59] S.M. Khoroshkin and V.N. Tolstoy, *Twisting of quantum (super)algebras. Connection of Drinfeld's and Cartan–Weyl realizations for quantum affine algebras*, (1994), e-print arXiv.org `hep-th/9404036`.

[60] S.M. Khoroshkin and V.N. Tolstoy, *Yangian double and rational R-matrix*, Lett. Math. Phys. **36** (1996), 373–402, e-print arXiv.org `hep-th/9406194`.

[61] H. Konno, *Degeneration of the elliptic algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ and form factors in the Sine-Gordon theory*, to appear in the CRM series in mathematical physics (Springer Verlag, ed.), 1996, e-print arXiv.org `hep-th/9701034`.

[62] H. Konno, *An elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}_2)$ and the fusion RSOS model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **195** (1998), 373–403, e-print arXiv.org `q-alg/9709013`.

[63] P.P. Kulish and N.Yu. Reshetikhin, *The quantum linear problem for the sine–gordon equation and higher representations*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI **101** (1981), 101–110.

[64] P.P. Kulish and E.V. Sklyanin, *On the solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation*, J. Sov. Math. **19** (1982), 1596–1620.

[65] A.I. Molev, *Yangians and their applications*, Handbook of Algebra, vol. 3, Elsevier, to appear.

[66] A.I. Molev, M. Nazarov, and G. Olshanskiĭ, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Russ. Math. Surveys **51** (1996), 205–282, e-print arXiv.org `hep-th/9409025`.

[67] E.K. Sklyanin, *Quantum version of the method of inverse scattering problem*, J. Sov. Math. **19** (1982), 1546–1596, translated from Zap. Nauchn. Sem. **95** (1980), 55–128.

[68] E.K. Sklyanin, *Some algebraic structures connected with the Yang–Baxter equation*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **16** (1982), 263–270.

[69] E.K. Sklyanin, *Some algebraic structures connected with the Yang–Baxter equation. Representations of quantum algebras*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **17** (1983), 273–284.

[70] E.K. Sklyanin, *On an algebra generated by quadratic relations*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. **40** (1985), 214.

[71] E.K. Sklyanin and L.D. Faddeev, *Quantum mechanical approach to completely integrable field theory models*, Sov. Phys. Dokl. **23** (1978), 902–904.

[72] E.K. Sklyanin, L.D. Faddeev, and L.A. Takhtajan, *The quantum inverse problem method*, Theor. Math. Phys. **40** (1980), 688–706, translated from Teor. Mat. Fiz. **40** (1979), 194–220.

[73] D. Sternheimer, *Deformation quantization: twenty years after*, International conference on particles, fields and gravitation, Lodz, Poland, 1998, e-print arXiv.org `math.QA/9809056`.

[74] C.N. Yang, *Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** (1967), 1312–1314.